

# ECPG 10-Q 9/30/2010

## Section 1: 10-Q (FORM 10-Q)

[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

### FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_.

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 000-26489

## ENCORE CAPITAL GROUP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

48-1090909  
(IRS Employer  
Identification No.)

8875 Aero Drive, Suite 200  
San Diego, California  
(Address of principal executive offices)

92123  
(Zip code)

(877) 445 - 4581

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

(Not Applicable)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the last 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Outstanding at October 21, 2010
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value	23,928,726 shares

---

---

---

## Table of Contents

### ENCORE CAPITAL GROUP, INC. INDEX TO FORM 10-Q

	<u>Page</u>
<u>PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	1
<u>Item 1 – Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	1
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition</u>	1
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income</u>	2
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders’ Equity and Comprehensive Income</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	4
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	5
<u>Item 2 – Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	18
<u>Item 3 – Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	39
<u>Item 4 – Controls and Procedures</u>	39
<u>PART II – OTHER INFORMATION</u>	39
<u>Item 1 – Legal Proceedings</u>	39
<u>Item 1A – Risk Factors</u>	39
<u>Item 2 – Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	48
<u>Item 3 – Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	48
<u>Item 4 – Removed and Reserved</u>	48
<u>Item 5 – Other Information</u>	48
<u>Item 6 – Exhibits</u>	49
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	50

[Table of Contents](#)

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

ENCORE CAPITAL GROUP, INC.  
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition  
(In Thousands, Except Par Value Amounts)  
(Unaudited)

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
<b>Assets</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 11,531	\$ 8,388
Accounts receivable, net	2,983	3,134
Investment in receivable portfolios, net	580,154	526,877
Deferred court costs, net	26,530	25,957
Property and equipment, net	11,599	9,427
Prepaid income tax	2,662	—
Other assets	11,784	4,252
Goodwill	15,985	15,985
Identifiable intangible assets, net	846	1,139
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 664,074</b>	<b>\$ 595,159</b>
<b>Liabilities and stockholders' equity</b>		
<b>Liabilities:</b>		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 20,606	\$ 21,815
Income taxes payable	—	2,681
Deferred tax liabilities, net	16,772	16,980
Deferred revenue	4,228	5,481
Debt	334,922	303,075
Other liabilities	1,033	2,036
Total liabilities	377,561	352,068
<b>Commitments and contingencies</b>		
<b>Stockholders' equity:</b>		
Convertible preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 5,000 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding	—	—
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 50,000 shares authorized, 23,905 shares and 23,359 shares issued and outstanding as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively	239	234
Additional paid-in capital	112,081	104,261
Accumulated earnings	174,723	139,842
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(530)	(1,246)
Total stockholders' equity	286,513	243,091
<b>Total liabilities and stockholders' equity</b>	<b>\$ 664,074</b>	<b>\$ 595,159</b>

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

[Table of Contents](#)

**ENCORE CAPITAL GROUP, INC.**  
**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income**  
(In Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)  
(Unaudited)

	<u>Three Months Ended</u> <u>September 30,</u>		<u>Nine Months Ended</u> <u>September 30,</u>	
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
<b>Revenue</b>				
Revenue from receivable portfolios, net	\$93,822	\$76,448	\$268,574	\$222,688
Servicing fees and other related revenue	4,145	3,938	12,962	12,179
<b>Total revenue</b>	<u>97,967</u>	<u>80,386</u>	<u>281,536</u>	<u>234,867</u>
<b>Operating expenses</b>				
Salaries and employee benefits (excluding stock-based compensation expense)	16,166	14,411	48,135	43,130
Stock-based compensation expense	1,549	1,261	4,756	3,335
Cost of legal collections	33,851	26,092	91,519	84,665
Other operating expenses	9,512	6,034	27,653	18,612
Collection agency commissions	5,389	5,795	17,098	13,483
General and administrative expenses	6,982	7,280	21,286	20,074
Depreciation and amortization	816	652	2,241	1,895
<b>Total operating expenses</b>	<u>74,265</u>	<u>61,525</u>	<u>212,688</u>	<u>185,194</u>
<b>Income before other (expense) income and income taxes</b>	<u>23,702</u>	<u>18,861</u>	<u>68,848</u>	<u>49,673</u>
<b>Other (expense) income</b>				
Interest expense	(4,928)	(3,970)	(14,346)	(12,201)
Gain on repurchase of convertible notes, net	—	—	—	3,268
Other income (expense)	148	61	250	(11)
<b>Total other expense</b>	<u>(4,780)</u>	<u>(3,909)</u>	<u>(14,096)</u>	<u>(8,944)</u>
<b>Income before income taxes</b>	18,922	14,952	54,752	40,729
Provision for income taxes	(6,632)	(5,948)	(19,871)	(16,087)
<b>Net income</b>	<u>\$12,290</u>	<u>\$ 9,004</u>	<u>\$ 34,881</u>	<u>\$ 24,642</u>
<b>Weighted average shares outstanding:</b>				
Basic	23,947	23,225	23,793	23,177
Diluted	25,154	24,199	25,012	23,936
<b>Earnings per share:</b>				
Basic	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.39	\$ 1.47	\$ 1.06
Diluted	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.37	\$ 1.39	\$ 1.03

*See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements*

[Table of Contents](#)

**ENCORE CAPITAL GROUP, INC.**  
**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income**  
(Unaudited, In Thousands)

	<u>Common Stock</u>		<u>Additional Paid-In Capital</u>	<u>Accumulated Earnings</u>	<u>Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (loss)</u>	<u>Total Equity</u>	<u>Comprehensive Income</u>
	<u>Shares</u>	<u>Par</u>					
<b>Balance at December 31, 2009</b>	23,359	\$234	\$ 104,261	\$ 139,842	\$ (1,246)	\$243,091	\$ —
Net income	—	—	—	34,881	—	34,881	34,881
Other comprehensive gain:							
Unrealized gain on cash flow hedges, net of tax	—	—	—	—	716	716	716
Exercise of stock options and issuance of share-based awards, net of shares withheld for employee taxes	546	5	12	—	—	17	—
Stock-based compensation	—	—	4,756	—	—	4,756	—
Settlement of call options and warrants associated with convertible notes, net	—	—	524	—	—	524	—
Tax benefit related to stock-based compensation	—	—	2,528	—	—	2,528	—
<b>Balance at September 30, 2010</b>	<u>23,905</u>	<u>\$239</u>	<u>\$ 112,081</u>	<u>\$ 174,723</u>	<u>\$ (530)</u>	<u>\$286,513</u>	<u>\$ 35,597</u>

*See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements*

[Table of Contents](#)

**ENCORE CAPITAL GROUP, INC.**  
**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**  
(Unaudited, In Thousands)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009
<b>Operating activities:</b>		
Net income	\$ 34,881	\$ 24,642
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	2,241	1,895
Amortization of loan costs and debt discount	3,270	3,100
Stock-based compensation expense	4,756	3,335
Gain on repurchase of convertible notes, net	—	(3,268)
Deferred income tax expense	(208)	437
Excess tax benefit from stock-based payment arrangements	(2,667)	(47)
Provision for allowances on receivable portfolios, net	16,777	14,323
Changes in operating assets and liabilities		
Other assets	(763)	(1,623)
Deferred court costs	(573)	625
Prepaid income tax and income taxes payable	(2,815)	11,149
Deferred revenue	(1,253)	472
Accounts payable, accrued liabilities and other liabilities	(2,900)	840
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>50,746</u>	<u>55,880</u>
<b>Investing activities:</b>		
Purchases of receivable portfolios, net of forward flow allocation	(242,857)	(205,378)
Collections applied to investment in receivable portfolios, net	169,896	126,019
Proceeds from put-backs of receivable portfolios	2,907	2,028
Purchases of property and equipment	(1,723)	(3,626)
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(71,777)</u>	<u>(80,957)</u>
<b>Financing activities:</b>		
Payment of loan costs	(6,248)	—
Proceeds from senior secured notes	50,000	—
Proceeds from revolving credit facility	111,644	85,500
Repayment of revolving credit facility	(92,144)	(41,500)
Repayment of convertible notes	(42,920)	—
Repurchase of convertible notes	—	(22,262)
Proceeds from net settlement of certain call options	524	—
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	1,773	123
Excess tax benefit from stock-based payment arrangements	2,667	47
Repayment of capital lease obligations	(1,122)	(232)
Net cash provided by financing activities	<u>24,174</u>	<u>21,676</u>
<b>Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents</b>	<u>3,143</u>	<u>(3,401)</u>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period</b>	<u>8,388</u>	<u>10,341</u>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents, end of period</b>	<u>\$ 11,531</u>	<u>\$ 6,940</u>
<b>Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:</b>		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 7,369	\$ 9,568
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 22,895	\$ 4,859
<b>Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities:</b>		
Fixed assets acquired through capital lease	\$ 2,398	\$ 224

*See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements*

---

[Table of Contents](#)

**ENCORE CAPITAL GROUP, INC.**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Unaudited)

**Note 1: Ownership, Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

Encore Capital Group, Inc. (“Encore”), through its subsidiaries (collectively, the “Company”), is a leader in consumer debt buying and recovery and, through its wholly owned subsidiary Ascension Capital Group, Inc. (“Ascension”), a provider of bankruptcy services to the finance industry. The Company purchases portfolios of defaulted consumer receivables and manages them by partnering with individuals as they repay their obligations and work toward financial recovery. Defaulted receivables are consumers’ unpaid financial commitments to credit originators, including banks, credit unions, consumer finance companies, commercial retailers, auto finance companies and telecommunication companies, which the Company purchases at deep discounts. Defaulted receivables include receivables subject to bankruptcy proceedings, or consumer bankruptcy receivables. The Company’s success hinges on its understanding, measuring, and predicting the distressed consumer’s behavior. The Company has invested heavily to build one of the industry’s strongest analytic platforms. The Company purchases receivables based on account-level valuation methods, and employs a suite of proprietary statistical models across the full extent of its operations. Moreover, the Company has one of the industry’s largest distressed consumer databases, comprised of approximately 20 million consumer accounts. As a result, the Company has been able to historically realize significant returns from the receivables it acquires. The Company’s performance derives from its sophisticated and widespread use of analytics, its investments in data and consumer intelligence, its cost leadership position (based on the Company’s enterprise-wide, account-level cost database as well as its India facility), and its commitment to see principled intent drive every consumer interaction. The Company maintains strong relationships with many of the largest credit providers in the United States, and possesses one of the industry’s best collection staff retention rates.

In addition, the Company provides bankruptcy support services to some of the largest companies in the financial services industry through its Ascension subsidiary. Leveraging a proprietary software platform dedicated to bankruptcy servicing, Ascension’s operational platform integrates lenders, trustees, and consumers across the bankruptcy lifecycle.

Acquisitions of receivable portfolios are financed by operations and by borrowings from third parties. See Note 9 for further discussion of the Company’s debt.

***Financial Statement Preparation***

The accompanying interim condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared by Encore, without audit, in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q, and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission and, therefore, do not include all information and footnotes necessary for a fair presentation of its consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

In the opinion of management, the unaudited financial information for the interim periods presented reflects all adjustments, consisting of only normal and recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the Company’s consolidated results of operations, financial position and cash flows. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009. Operating results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of operating results for an entire fiscal year.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts and the disclosure of contingent amounts in the Company’s financial statements and the accompanying notes. Actual results could materially differ from those estimates.

***Principles of Consolidation***

The Company’s condensed consolidated financial statements include the assets, liabilities and operating results of its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

***Reclassification***

Certain reclassifications have been made to the condensed consolidated financial statements to conform to the current year’s presentation.



## Table of Contents

### Note 2: Earnings per Share

Basic earnings per share is calculated by dividing net earnings available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is calculated on the basis of the weighted average number of shares of common stock plus the effect of dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period using the treasury stock method. Dilutive potential common shares include outstanding stock options and restricted stock units.

The components of basic and diluted earnings per share are as follows (*in thousands, except earnings per share*):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Net income available for common shareholders	\$12,290	\$ 9,004	\$34,881	\$24,642
Weighted average outstanding shares of common stock	23,947	23,225	23,793	23,177
Dilutive effect of stock-based awards	1,207	894	1,219	759
Common stock and common stock equivalents	<u>25,154</u>	<u>24,199</u>	<u>25,012</u>	<u>23,936</u>
Earnings per share:				
Basic <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.39	\$ 1.47	\$ 1.06
Diluted <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.37	\$ 1.39	\$ 1.03

(1) Represents net income available for common shareholders divided by the weighted average outstanding shares of common stock.

(2) Represents net income available for common shareholders divided by common stock and common stock equivalents.

Employee stock options to purchase approximately 199,000 and 219,000 shares of common stock during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, and employee stock options to purchase approximately 995,000 and 1,484,000 shares of common stock during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively, were outstanding but not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the effect on diluted earnings per share would be anti-dilutive.

### Note 3: Fair Value Measurements

The authoritative guidance for fair value measurements defines fair value as the price that would be received upon sale of an asset or the price paid to transfer a liability, in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (*i.e.* the “exit price”). The guidance utilizes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used in valuation techniques to measure fair value into three broad levels. The following is a brief description of each level:

- Level 1: Observable inputs such as quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2: Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. These include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets and quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active.
- Level 3: Unobservable inputs that reflect the reporting entity’s own assumptions.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at September 30, 2010 are summarized below (*in thousands*):

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
<b>Assets</b>				
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ —	\$ 182	\$ —	\$ 182
<b>Liabilities</b>				
Interest rate swap agreements	\$ —	\$(1,033)	\$ —	\$(1,033)

Fair values of derivative instruments included in Level 2 are estimated using industry standard valuation models. These models project future cash flows and discount the future amounts to a present value using market-based observable inputs including interest rate curves, foreign exchange rates, and forward and spot prices for currencies. As of September 30, 2010, the Company did not have any financial instruments carried at fair value that required Level 3 measurement.

---

## Table of Contents

### *Financial instruments not required to be carried at fair value*

Borrowings under the Company's revolving credit facility are carried at historical cost, adjusted for additional borrowings less principal repayments, which approximates fair value. For investment in receivable portfolios, there is no active market or observable inputs for the fair value estimation. The Company considers it not practical to attempt to estimate the fair value of such financial instruments due to the excessive costs that would be incurred in doing so.

### **Note 4: Derivatives and Hedging Instruments**

The Company uses derivative instruments to manage risks related to interest rates and foreign currency. The Company's outstanding interest rate swap contracts and foreign exchange contracts qualify for hedge accounting treatment under the authoritative guidance for derivatives and hedging.

#### *Interest Rate Swaps*

The Company may periodically enter into derivative financial instruments, typically interest rate swap agreements, to reduce its exposure to fluctuations in interest rates on variable interest rate debt and their impact on earnings and cash flows. During the quarter ended September 30, 2010, the company entered into two interest rate swap agreements with a combined notional amount of \$50.0 million. As of September 30, 2010, the Company has three interest rate swap agreements outstanding with a total notional amount of \$75.0 million. Under the swap agreements, the Company receives floating interest rate payments based on one-month reserve-adjusted LIBOR and makes interest payments based on fixed interest rates. The Company intends to continue electing the one-month reserve-adjusted LIBOR as the benchmark interest rate on the debt being hedged through its term. No credit spread was hedged. The Company designates its interest rate swap instruments as cash flow hedges.

The authoritative guidance requires companies to recognize derivative instruments as either an asset or liability measured at fair value in the statement of financial position. The effective portion of the change in fair value of the derivative instrument is recorded in other comprehensive income. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivative instrument, if any, is recognized in interest expense in the period of change. From the inception of the hedging program, the Company has determined that the hedging instruments are highly effective.

#### *Foreign Exchange Contracts*

The Company conducts business in a currency other than the U.S. dollar, in connection with its international subsidiary in India. As a result, India's forecasted expenditures expose the Company to foreign currency risk. To mitigate this risk, the Company enters into derivative financial instruments, principally forward contracts, which are designated as cash flow hedges to mitigate fluctuations in the cash payments of future forecasted transactions in Indian rupees for up to 24 months. The Company adjusts the level and use of derivatives as soon as practicable after learning that an exposure has changed and the Company reviews all exposures and derivative positions on an ongoing basis.

Gains and losses on cash flow hedges are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) until the hedged transaction is recorded in the consolidated financial statements. Once the underlying transaction is recorded in the consolidated financial statements, the Company reclassifies the accumulated other comprehensive income or loss on the derivative into earnings. If all or a portion of the forecasted transaction was cancelled, this would render all or a portion of the cash flow hedge ineffective and the Company would reclassify the ineffective portion of the hedge into earnings. The Company generally does not experience ineffectiveness of the hedge relationship and the accompanying consolidated financial statements do not include any such gains or losses.

As of September 30, 2010, the total notional amount of the forward contracts to buy Indian rupees in exchange for U.S. dollars was \$11.2 million. All outstanding contracts qualified for hedge accounting treatment as of September 30, 2010. The Company estimates that approximately \$0.2 million of net derivative gain included in OCI will be reclassified into earnings within the next 12 months. No gains or losses were reclassified from OCI into earnings as a result of forecasted transactions that failed to occur during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010.

The Company does not enter into derivative instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

## Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the fair value of derivative instruments as recorded in the Company's consolidated statements of financial position (in thousands):

	September 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Balance Sheet		Balance Sheet	
	Location	Fair Value	Location	Fair Value
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:				
Interest rate swaps	Other liabilities	\$ 1,033	Other liabilities	\$ 1,791
Foreign exchange contracts	Other assets	\$ 182	Other liabilities	\$ 245

The following tables summarize the effects of derivatives in cash flow hedging relationships on the Company's statements of income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

	Gain or (Loss) Recognized in OCI-Effective Portion		Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from OCI into Income - Effective Portion	Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from OCI into Income - Effective Portion		Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized - Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized - Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing	
	Three Months Ended			Three Months Ended			Three Months Ended	
	September 30,			September 30,			September 30,	
	2010	2009		2010	2009		2010	2009
Interest rate swaps	\$ (87)	\$ 342	Interest expense	\$ —	\$ —	Other (expense) income	\$ —	\$ —

Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 253	\$ —	Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 2	\$ —	Other (expense) income	\$ —	\$ —
----------------------------	--------	------	--------------------------------	------	------	------------------------	------	------

Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 52	\$ —	General and administrative expenses	\$ —	\$ —	Other (expense) income	\$ —	\$ —
----------------------------	-------	------	-------------------------------------	------	------	------------------------	------	------

	Gain or (Loss) Recognized in OCI-Effective Portion		Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from OCI into Income - Effective Portion	Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from OCI into Income - Effective Portion		Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized - Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized - Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing	
	Nine Months Ended			Nine Months Ended			Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,			September 30,			September 30,	
	2010	2009		2010	2009		2010	2009
Interest rate swaps	\$ 758	\$ 1,177	Interest expense	\$ —	\$ —	Other (expense) income	\$ —	\$ —

Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 365	\$ —	Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 14	\$ —	Other (expense) income	\$ —	\$ —
----------------------------	--------	------	--------------------------------	-------	------	------------------------	------	------

Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 78	\$ —	General and administrative expenses	\$ 2	\$ —	Other (expense) income	\$ —	\$ —
----------------------------	-------	------	-------------------------------------	------	------	------------------------	------	------

## Table of Contents

### **Note 5: Stock-Based Compensation**

On March 9, 2009, the Board of Directors approved an amendment and restatement of the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan (“2005 Plan”), which was originally adopted on March 30, 2005, for Board members, employees, officers, and executives of, and consultants and advisors to, the Company. The amendment and restatement of the 2005 Plan increased by 2,000,000 shares the maximum number of shares of the Company’s common stock that may be issued or be subject to awards under the plan, established a new 10-year term for the plan and made certain other amendments. The 2005 Plan amendment was approved by the Company’s stockholders on June 9, 2009. The 2005 Plan provides for the granting of incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, and performance-based awards to eligible individuals. As amended, the 2005 Plan allows the granting of an aggregate of 3,500,000 shares of the Company’s common stock for awards, plus the number of shares of stock that were available for future awards under the prior 1999 Equity Participation Plan (“1999 Plan”). In addition, shares subject to options granted under either the 1999 Plan or the 2005 Plan that terminate or expire without being exercised will become available for grant under the 2005 Plan. The benefits provided under these plans are compensation subject to authoritative guidance for stock-based compensation.

In accordance with authoritative guidance for stock-based compensation, compensation expense is recognized only for those shares expected to vest, based on the Company’s historical experience and future expectations. Total compensation expense during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$4.8 million and \$3.3 million, respectively.

The Company’s stock-based compensation arrangements are described below:

#### **Stock Options**

The 2005 Plan permits the granting of stock options to employees, officers and executives, and directors of, and consultants and advisors to, the Company. The Company uses the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to determine the fair-value of stock-based awards. All options are amortized ratably over the requisite service periods of the awards, which are generally the vesting periods.

The fair value for options granted was estimated at the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009
Weighted average fair value of options granted	\$ 9.70	\$ 4.91
Risk free interest rate	2.3%	2.1%
Dividend yield	0.0%	0.0%
Volatility factor of the expected market price of the Company’s common stock	62.0%	57.0%
Weighted-average expected life of options	5 Years	5 Years

Unrecognized compensation cost related to stock options as of September 30, 2010 was \$3.7 million. The weighted-average remaining expense period, based on the unamortized value of these outstanding stock options was approximately 2.1 years.

A summary of the Company’s stock option activity as of September 30, 2010, and changes during the nine months then ended, is presented below:

	Number of Shares	Option Price Per Share	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value <i>(in thousands)</i>
Outstanding at December 31, 2009	2,667,137	\$0.35 – \$20.09	\$ 9.28	
Granted	215,000	17.90	17.90	
Cancelled/forfeited	(39,333)	2.89 – 17.90	11.13	
Exercised	(325,813)	0.35 – 16.19	5.44	
Outstanding at September 30, 2010	2,516,991	\$0.35 – \$20.09	\$ 10.48	\$ 19,093
Exercisable at September 30, 2010	1,549,927	\$0.35 – \$20.09	\$ 9.58	\$ 13,201

## Table of Contents

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$4.9 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. As of September 30, 2010, the weighted-average remaining contractual life of options outstanding and options exercisable was 6.2 years and 4.6 years, respectively.

### ***Non-Vested Shares***

Under the Company's 2005 Plan, employees, officers and executives and directors of, and consultants and advisors to, the Company are eligible to receive restricted stock units and restricted stock awards. In accordance with the authoritative guidance, the fair value of these non-vested shares is equal to the closing sale price of the Company's common stock on the date of issuance. The total number of these awards expected to vest is adjusted by estimated forfeiture rates. As of September 30, 2010, 88,825 of the non-vested shares are expected to vest over approximately one to two years based on certain performance goals ("Performance-Based Awards"). The fair value of the Performance-Based Awards is expensed over the expected vesting period, net of estimated forfeitures. If performance goals are not expected to be met, the compensation expense previously recognized would be reversed. No reversals of compensation expense related to the Performance-Based Awards have been made as of September 30, 2010. The remaining 621,157 non-vested shares are not performance-based, and will vest over approximately one to five years of continuous service.

A summary of the status of the Company's non-vested shares as of September 30, 2010, and changes during the nine months then ended, is presented below:

<u>Non-Vested Shares</u>	<u>Non-Vested Shares</u>	<u>Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value</u>
Non-vested at December 31, 2009	675,790	\$ 9.27
Awarded	343,582	\$ 17.87
Vested	(275,725)	\$ 10.47
Cancelled/forfeited	(33,665)	\$ 14.27
Non-vested at September 30, 2010	<u>709,982</u>	<u>\$ 12.73</u>

Unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested shares as of September 30, 2010, was \$5.2 million. The weighted-average remaining expense period, based on the unamortized value of these outstanding non-vested shares was approximately 2.5 years. The fair value of vested shares during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$4.8 million and \$1.1 million, respectively.

### **Note 6: Investment in Receivable Portfolios, Net**

In accordance with the authoritative guidance for loans and debt securities acquired with deteriorated credit quality, discrete receivable portfolio purchases during a quarter are aggregated into pools based on common risk characteristics. Once a static pool is established, the portfolios are permanently assigned to the pool. The discount (*i.e.*, the difference between the cost of each static pool and the related aggregate contractual receivable balance) is not recorded because the Company expects to collect a relatively small percentage of each static pool's contractual receivable balance. As a result, receivable portfolios are recorded at cost at the time of acquisition. The purchase cost of the portfolios includes certain fees paid to third parties incurred in connection with the direct acquisition of the receivable portfolios.

In compliance with the authoritative guidance, the Company accounts for its investments in consumer receivable portfolios using either the interest method or the cost recovery method. The interest method applies an internal rate of return ("IRR"), to the cost basis of the pool, which remains unchanged throughout the life of the pool, unless there is an increase in subsequent expected cash flows. Subsequent increases in expected cash flows are generally recognized prospectively through an upward adjustment of the pool's IRR over its remaining life. Subsequent decreases in expected cash flows do not change the IRR, but are recognized as an allowance to the cost basis of the pool, and are reflected in the consolidated statements of income as a reduction in revenue, with a corresponding valuation allowance, offsetting the investment in receivable portfolios in the consolidated statements of financial condition.

The Company accounts for each static pool as a unit for the economic life of the pool (similar to one loan) for recognition of revenue from receivable portfolios, for collections applied to the cost basis of receivable portfolios and for provision for loss or allowance. Revenue from receivable portfolios is accrued based on each pool's IRR applied to each pool's adjusted cost basis. The cost basis of each pool is increased by revenue earned and decreased by gross collections and portfolio allowances.

## Table of Contents

If the amount and timing of future cash collections on a pool of receivables are not reasonably estimable, the Company accounts for such portfolios on the cost recovery method as Cost Recovery Portfolios. The accounts in these portfolios have different risk characteristics than those included in other portfolios acquired during the same quarter, or the necessary information was not available to estimate future cash flows and, accordingly, they were not aggregated with other portfolios. Under the cost recovery method of accounting, no income is recognized until the purchase price of a Cost Recovery Portfolio has been fully recovered.

Accretable yield represents the amount of revenue the Company expects to generate over the remaining life of its existing investment in receivable portfolios based on estimated future cash flows. Total accretable yield is the difference between future estimated collections and the current carrying value of a portfolio. All estimated cash flows on portfolios where the cost basis has been fully recovered are classified as zero basis cash flows.

The following table summarizes the Company's accretable yield and an estimate of zero basis future cash flows at the beginning and end of the current period (*in thousands*):

	<u>Accretable Yield</u>	<u>Estimate of Zero Basis Cash Flows</u>	<u>Total</u>
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$628,439	\$ 4,695	\$633,134
Revenue recognized, net	(80,851)	(2,056)	(82,907)
Net additions to existing portfolios	45,179	1,702	46,881
Additions for current purchases	93,430	—	93,430
Balance at March 31, 2010	<u>\$686,197</u>	<u>\$ 4,341</u>	<u>\$690,538</u>
Revenue recognized, net	(89,490)	(2,355)	(91,845)
Additions to existing portfolios, net	16,481	1,960	18,441
Additions for current purchases	95,862	—	95,862
Balance at June 30, 2010	<u>\$709,050</u>	<u>\$ 3,946</u>	<u>\$712,996</u>
Revenue recognized, net	(90,744)	(3,078)	(93,822)
(Reductions) additions to existing portfolios, net	(3,783)	2,814	(969)
Additions for current purchases	93,907	—	93,907
Balance at September 30, 2010	<u>\$708,430</u>	<u>\$ 3,682</u>	<u>\$712,112</u>

	<u>Accretable Yield</u>	<u>Estimate of Zero Basis Cash Flows</u>	<u>Total</u>
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$592,825	\$ 8,337	\$601,162
Revenue recognized, net	(69,775)	(2,500)	(72,275)
Net additions to existing portfolios	5,715	1,032	6,747
Additions for current purchases	81,917	—	81,917
Balance at March 31, 2009	<u>\$610,682</u>	<u>\$ 6,869</u>	<u>\$617,551</u>
Revenue recognized, net	(71,576)	(2,389)	(73,965)
(Reductions) additions to existing portfolios, net	(15,399)	2,614	(12,785)
Additions for current purchases	106,771	—	106,771
Balance at June 30, 2009	<u>\$630,478</u>	<u>\$ 7,094</u>	<u>\$637,572</u>
Revenue recognized, net	(74,335)	(2,113)	(76,448)
(Reductions) additions to existing portfolios, net	(12,805)	511	(12,294)
Additions for current purchases	104,569	—	104,569
Balance at September 30, 2009	<u>\$647,907</u>	<u>\$ 5,492</u>	<u>\$653,399</u>

During the three months ended September 30, 2010, the Company purchased receivable portfolios with a face value of \$2.6 billion for \$77.9 million, or a purchase cost of 3.0% of face value. The estimated future collections at acquisition for these portfolios amounted to \$166.2 million. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Company purchased receivable portfolios with a face value of \$7.0 billion for \$242.9 million, or a purchase cost of 3.5% of face value. The estimated future collections at acquisition for these portfolios amounted to \$513.9 million.

## Table of Contents

All collections realized after the net book value of a portfolio has been fully recovered (“Zero Basis Portfolios”) are recorded as revenue (“Zero Basis Revenue”) or allowance reversal if applicable. Zero Basis Revenue was \$2.6 million (net of allowance reversals of \$0.5 million) and \$2.1 million during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Zero Basis Revenue was \$7.5 million (net of allowance reversals of \$0.5 million) and \$7.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The following tables summarize the changes in the balance of the investment in receivable portfolios during the following periods (*in thousands, except percentages*):

	<b>Three Months Ended September 30, 2010</b>			
	<b>Accrual Basis Portfolios</b>	<b>Cost Recovery Portfolios</b>	<b>Zero Basis Portfolios</b>	<b>Total</b>
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 566,815	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 566,815
Purchases of receivable portfolios	77,889	—	—	77,889
Gross collections <sup>(1)</sup>	(154,251)	—	(3,078)	(157,329)
Put-backs and recalls <sup>(2)</sup>	(1,043)	—	—	(1,043)
Revenue recognized <sup>(3)</sup>	97,247	—	2,632	99,879
(Portfolio allowances) portfolio allowance reversals, net	(6,503)	—	446	(6,057)
Balance, end of period	<u>\$ 580,154</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 580,154</u>
Revenue as a percentage of collections <sup>(4)</sup>	<u>63.0%</u>	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>85.5%</u>	<u>63.5%</u>

	<b>Three Months Ended September 30, 2009</b>			
	<b>Accrual Basis Portfolios</b>	<b>Cost Recovery Portfolios</b>	<b>Zero Basis Portfolios</b>	<b>Total</b>
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 506,155	\$ 553	\$ —	\$ 506,708
Purchases of receivable portfolios	77,734	—	—	77,734
Gross collections <sup>(1)</sup>	(123,498)	(25)	(2,113)	(125,636)
Put-backs and recalls <sup>(2)</sup>	(598)	—	—	(598)
Revenue recognized <sup>(3)</sup>	78,680	—	2,100	80,780
(Portfolio allowances) portfolio allowance reversals, net	(4,345)	—	13	(4,332)
Balance, end of period	<u>\$ 534,128</u>	<u>\$ 528</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 534,656</u>
Revenue as a percentage of collections <sup>(4)</sup>	<u>63.7%</u>	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>99.4%</u>	<u>64.3%</u>

	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010</b>			
	<b>Accrual Basis Portfolios</b>	<b>Cost Recovery Portfolios</b>	<b>Zero Basis Portfolios</b>	<b>Total</b>
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 526,366	\$ 511	\$ —	\$ 526,877
Purchases of receivable portfolios	242,857	—	—	242,857
Gross collections <sup>(1)</sup>	(447,702)	(55)	(7,490)	(455,247)
Put-backs and recalls <sup>(2)</sup>	(2,907)	—	—	(2,907)
Revenue recognized <sup>(3)</sup>	278,308	—	7,043	285,351
(Portfolio allowances) portfolio allowance reversals, net	(16,768)	(456)	447	(16,777)
Balance, end of period	<u>\$ 580,154</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 580,154</u>
Revenue as a percentage of collections <sup>(4)</sup>	<u>62.2%</u>	<u>0.0%</u>	<u>94.0%</u>	<u>62.7%</u>

## Table of Contents

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009			
	Accrual Basis Portfolios	Cost Recovery Portfolios	Zero Basis Portfolios	Total
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 460,598	\$ 748	\$ —	\$ 461,346
Purchases of receivable portfolios	215,680	—	—	215,680
Gross collections <sup>(1)</sup>	(355,812)	(220)	(6,998)	(363,030)
Put-backs and recalls <sup>(2)</sup>	(2,024)	—	(4)	(2,028)
Revenue recognized <sup>(3)</sup>	230,054	—	6,957	237,011
(Portfolio allowances) portfolio allowance reversals, net	(14,368)	—	45	(14,323)
Balance, end of period	\$ 534,128	\$ 528	\$ —	\$ 534,656
Revenue as a percentage of collections <sup>(4)</sup>	64.7%	0.0%	99.4%	65.3%

(1) Does not include amounts collected on behalf of others.

(2) Put-backs represent accounts that are returned to the seller in accordance with the respective purchase agreement (“Put-Backs”). Recalls represent accounts that are recalled by the seller in accordance with the respective purchase agreement (“Recalls”).

(3) Includes retained interest.

(4) Revenue as a percentage of collections excludes the effects of net portfolio allowances or net portfolio allowance reversals.

The following table summarizes the change in the valuation allowance for investment in receivable portfolios during the periods presented (*in thousands*):

	Valuation Allowance			
	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Balance at beginning of period	\$87,182	\$67,143	\$76,462	\$57,152
Provision for portfolio allowances	7,690	4,855	22,079	15,157
Reversal of prior allowances	(1,633)	(523)	(5,302)	(834)
Balance at end of period	\$93,239	\$71,475	\$93,239	\$71,475

The Company currently utilizes various business channels for the collection of its receivables. The following table summarizes the collections by collection channel (*in thousands*):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Collection sites	\$ 67,089	\$ 45,122	\$ 199,513	\$ 140,144
Legal collections	71,773	55,584	196,995	173,451
Collection agencies	18,065	19,705	57,777	42,878
Sales	—	5,299	—	6,843
Other	445	—	1,143	—
	\$ 157,372	\$ 125,710	\$ 455,428	\$ 363,316

### Note 7: Deferred Court Costs

The Company contracts with a nationwide network of attorneys that specialize in collection matters. The Company generally refers charged-off accounts to its contracted attorneys when it believes the related debtor has sufficient assets to repay the indebtedness and has, to date, been unwilling to pay. In connection with the Company’s agreement with the contracted attorneys, it advances certain out-of-pocket court costs (“Deferred Court Costs”). The Company capitalizes Deferred Court Costs in its consolidated financial statements and provides a reserve for those costs that it believes will ultimately be uncollectible. The Company determines the reserve based on its analysis of court costs that have been advanced and those that have been recovered. Deferred Court Costs not recovered within three years of placement are fully written off. Collections received from these debtors are first applied against related court costs with the balance applied to the debtors’ account.



## Table of Contents

Deferred Court Costs for the three-year deferral period consist of the following as of the dates presented (*in thousands*):

	<u>September 30, 2010</u>	<u>December 31, 2009</u>
Court costs advanced	\$ 186,390	\$ 172,488
Court costs recovered	(47,897)	(44,980)
Court costs reserve	(111,963)	(101,551)
	<u>\$ 26,530</u>	<u>\$ 25,957</u>

### **Note 8: Other Assets**

Other assets consist of the following (*in thousands*):

	<u>September 30, 2010</u>	<u>December 31, 2009</u>
Debt issuance costs, net of amortization	\$ 5,536	\$ 553
Prepaid expenses	3,286	1,728
Security deposit – India building lease	1,042	1,013
Deferred compensation assets	772	758
Other	1,148	200
	<u>\$ 11,784</u>	<u>\$ 4,252</u>

Deferred compensation assets represent monies held in a trust associated with the Company's deferred compensation plan.

### **Note 9: Debt**

The Company is obligated under borrowings, as follows (*in thousands*):

	<u>September 30, 2010</u>	<u>December 31, 2009</u>
Convertible notes	\$ —	\$ 42,920
Less: Debt discount	—	(2,013)
Senior secured notes	50,000	—
Revolving credit facility	279,500	260,000
Capital lease obligations	5,422	2,168
	<u>\$ 334,922</u>	<u>\$ 303,075</u>

### **Convertible Senior Notes**

The Company's Convertible Notes matured on September 20, 2010. On that date, the Company repaid the remaining \$42.9 million balance outstanding under the notes.

In accordance with applicable accounting literature, the Company was required to separately account for the liability and equity components of its Convertible Notes in a manner that reflected the Company's nonconvertible debt borrowing rate. The effective interest rate on the liability component of the Convertible Notes was 10.38%. Interest expense related to the Convertible Notes was as follows (*in thousands*):

	<u>Three Months Ended September 30,</u>		<u>Nine Months Ended September 30,</u>	
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Interest expense – stated coupon rate	\$ 314	\$ 369	\$ 1,038	\$ 908
Interest expense – amortization of debt discount	628	650	2,013	1,560
Total interest expense – convertible notes	<u>\$ 942</u>	<u>\$ 1,019</u>	<u>\$ 3,051</u>	<u>\$ 2,468</u>

---

## Table of Contents

### *Senior Secured Notes*

On September 20, 2010, the Company issued \$50.0 million in senior secured notes (the “Senior Secured Notes”) to certain affiliates of Prudential Capital Group (“Prudential Capital Group”) through a private placement transaction. The Senior Secured Notes bear an annual interest rate of 7.75% and mature in 2017 with principal amortization beginning in December 2012. Interest on the Senior Secured Notes is payable quarterly on March 17, June 17, September 17 and December 17 of each year. Principal payments of \$2.5 million are payable on December 17, 2012 and on each March 17, June 17, September 17 and December 17 thereafter, up to and including June 17, 2017 (or a lesser amount as may be outstanding). The Senior Secured Notes are guaranteed in full by certain of the Companies’ subsidiaries and are collateralized by all assets of the Company. The Senior Secured Notes may be accelerated and become automatically and immediately due and payable upon certain events of default, including certain events related to insolvency, bankruptcy or liquidation. Additionally, the Senior Secured Notes may be accelerated at the election of the holder or holders of a majority in principal amount of the Senior Secured Notes upon certain events of default by the Company, including breach of affirmative covenants regarding guarantors, collateral, most favored lender treatment or minimum revolving credit facility commitment, or the breach of any negative covenant. If the Company prepays the Senior Secured Notes at any time for any reason, payment will be at the higher of par or the present value of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest on the portion being prepaid. The discount rate used to determine the present value shall be 50 basis points over the then current Treasury Rate corresponding to the remaining average life. The covenants are substantially similar to those in the Revolving Credit Facility and the parties have an intercreditor agreement related to collateral. The proceeds from the Senior Secured Notes have been used to reduce aggregate outstanding borrowings under the Company’s existing Revolving Credit Facility, including borrowings incurred to repay the remaining \$42.9 million of Convertible Notes that matured September 20, 2010.

Pursuant to Securities and Exchange Committee rules, the Company has concluded that separate financial statements or condensed consolidating financial information are not required as the guarantees related to the Senior Secured Notes are full and unconditional and joint and several, and the subsidiary of the parent company other than the subsidiary guarantors are minor.

### *Revolving Credit Facility*

On February 8, 2010, the Company entered into a new \$327.5 million revolving credit facility (“2010 Revolving Credit Facility”) to be used for the purpose of purchasing receivable portfolios and for general working capital needs. The 2010 Revolving Credit Facility expires on December 31, 2013.

The 2010 Revolving Credit Facility contains an accordion feature which allows the Company, on or subsequent to closing, at its option, and subject to customary conditions, to request an increase in the facility of up to \$100.0 million by obtaining one or more commitments from one or more lenders or other entities with the consent of the administrative agent, but without the consent of any other lenders.

On July 15, 2010, the Company obtained an additional \$33.0 million in commitments from lenders and exercised a portion of its \$100.0 million accordion feature.

On September 20 and 21, 2010, the Company amended its Revolving Credit Facility. The amendments allow for the addition of the \$50.0 million in Senior Secured Notes and include a feature that would allow the Company to issue up to \$25 million of additional notes to Prudential Capital Group under terms equivalent to the Senior Secured Notes. The accordion feature of the facility was also reset to \$100 million, increasing the facility maximum from \$427.5 million to \$460.5 million and the maturity of the facility was extended from May 3, 2013 to December 31, 2013.

Provisions of the 2010 Revolving Credit Facility include:

- Interest at a floating rate equal to, at the Company’s option, either: (1) reserve adjusted LIBOR plus a spread that ranges from 350 to 400 basis points, depending on the Company’s leverage; or (2) Alternate Base Rate (“ABR”) plus a spread that ranges from 250 to 300 basis points, depending on the Company’s leverage. ABR, as defined in the agreement, means the highest of (i) the rate of interest publicly announced by JP Morgan Chase Bank as its prime rate in effect at its principal office in New York City, (ii) the federal funds effective rate from time to time plus 0.5% and (iii) reserved adjusted LIBOR for a one month interest period on the applicable date plus 1%;
- \$10.0 million sub-limits for swingline loans and letters of credit;
- A borrowing base equal to (i) the lesser of (1) 30% of eligible estimated remaining collections and (2) the product of the net book value of all receivable portfolios acquired on or after January 1, 2005 multiplied by 95%, minus (ii) the aggregate principal amount outstanding in respect of the Senior Secured Notes;
- Restrictions and covenants, which limit, among other things, the payment of dividends and the incurrence of additional indebtedness and liens;

---

## Table of Contents

- Repurchases of up to \$50.0 million of the Company's common stock, subject to compliance with certain covenants and available borrowing capacity;
- A change of control definition which excludes acquisitions of stock by Red Mountain Capital Partners LLC, JCF FPK I LP and their respective affiliates of up to 50% of the outstanding shares of the Company's voting stock;
- Events of default which, upon occurrence, may permit the lenders to terminate the 2010 Revolving Credit Facility and declare all amounts outstanding to be immediately due and payable;
- An annual capital expenditure maximum of \$12.5 million;
- An annual rental expense maximum of \$12.5 million;
- An outstanding capital lease maximum of \$12.5 million;
- An acquisition limit of \$100.0 million; and
- Collateralization by all assets of the Company.

As of September 30, 2010, the outstanding balance on the 2010 Revolving Credit Facility was \$279.5 million, which bore a weighted average interest rate of 4.68% and 4.65% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. As of September 30, 2010, the aggregate borrowing base under the Revolving Credit Facility was \$360.5 million, of which \$81.0 million was available for future borrowings. The Company is in compliance with all covenants under its financing arrangements.

### ***Capital Lease Obligations***

The Company has capital lease obligations for certain computer equipment. As of September 30, 2010, the Company's combined obligation was approximately \$4.2 million. These lease obligations require monthly or quarterly payments through September 2013 and have implicit interest rates that range from approximately 5.9% to 7.7%.

The Company has financed certain leasehold improvement projects with its lessors in its Phoenix and St. Cloud facilities. As of September 30, 2010, the Company's combined obligation was approximately \$0.8 million. These financing agreements require monthly principal and interest payments, accrue interest at 8% to 9% per annum and will mature in June and September 2013.

### **Note 10: Income Taxes**

During the three months ended September 30, 2010, the Company recorded an income tax provision of \$6.6 million, reflecting an effective rate of 35.0% of pretax income. The effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2010, consisted of a provision for federal income taxes of 32.8% (which is net of a benefit for state taxes of 2.2%), a provision for state taxes of 6.4%, a benefit of permanent book versus tax differences of 1.6%, a benefit of an Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") refund of interest of 0.5%, a benefit from a state refund of 0.3%, and a benefit for the reduction in the state effective tax rate and the applicable true-up of the state and federal tax accounts of 1.8%. During the three months ended September 30, 2009, the Company recorded an income tax provision of \$5.9 million, reflecting an effective rate of 39.8% of pretax income. The effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2009, primarily consisted of a provision for federal income taxes of 32.3% (which is net of a benefit for state taxes of 2.7%), a provision for state taxes of 7.8%, a benefit of permanent book versus tax differences of 0.6% and a provision for the true-up of the state and federal tax accounts of 0.3%.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Company recorded an income tax provision of \$19.9 million, reflecting an effective rate of 36.3% of pretax income. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, consisted of a provision for federal income taxes of 32.8% (which is net of a benefit for state taxes of 2.2%), a provision for state taxes of 6.4%, a benefit of permanent book versus tax differences of 1.8%, a benefit of an IRS tax refund including interest of 0.7%, a benefit from a state tax refund of 0.1%, and a benefit for the reduction in the state effective tax rate and the applicable true-up of the state and federal tax accounts of 0.3%. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, the Company recorded an income tax provision of \$16.1 million, reflecting an effective rate of 39.5% of pretax income. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, primarily consisted of a provision for federal income taxes of 32.3% (which is net of a benefit for state taxes of 2.7%), a provision for state taxes of 7.8%, and a benefit of permanent book versus tax differences of 0.6%.

As of September 30, 2010, the Company had a gross unrecognized tax benefit of \$0.7 million that, if recognized, would result in a net tax benefit of approximately \$0.5 million and would reduce the Company's effective tax rate. During the three months ended September 30, 2010, the Company recorded a \$0.3 million tax benefit, which was the result of an amended and current Michigan state tax return.

## Table of Contents

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Company has not provided for the United States income taxes or foreign withholding taxes on the quarterly undistributed earnings from continuing operations of its subsidiary operating outside of the United States. Undistributed earnings of the subsidiary for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, were approximately \$0.8 million and \$3.3 million, respectively. Such undistributed earnings are considered permanently reinvested.

The Company's subsidiary operating outside of the United States is currently operating under a tax holiday in India. The tax holiday is due to expire on March 31, 2011. The impact of the tax holiday on the Company's consolidated financial statements is not material.

### **Note 11: Purchase Concentrations**

The following table summarizes the concentration of initial purchase cost by seller sorted by total aggregate costs (*in thousands, except percentages*):

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	
	Cost	%
Seller 1	\$ 45,580	18.7%
Seller 2	37,610	15.5%
Seller 3	36,241	14.9%
Seller 4	33,658	13.9%
Seller 5	29,517	12.2%
Other sellers	60,251	24.8%
	<u>\$242,857</u>	<u>100.0%</u>
Adjustments <sup>(1)</sup>	(771)	
Purchases, net	<u>\$242,086</u>	

<sup>(1)</sup> Adjusted for Put-backs and Recalls.

### **Note 12: Commitments and Contingencies**

#### **Litigation**

The Company, along with others in its industry, is subject to legal actions based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, ("FDCPA"), and comparable state statutes, which could have a material adverse effect on it due to the remedies available under these statutes, including punitive damages. The violations of law alleged in these actions often include claims that the Company lacks specified licenses to conduct its business, attempts to collect debts on which the statute of limitations has run, and has made inaccurate assertions of fact in support of its collection actions. A number of these cases are styled as class actions and a class has been certified in several of these cases. Many of these cases present novel issues on which there is no clear legal precedent. As a result, the Company is unable to predict the range of possible outcomes.

There are a number of other lawsuits, claims and counterclaims pending or threatened against the Company. In general, these lawsuits, claims or counterclaims have arisen in the ordinary course of business and involve claims for damages arising from a variety of alleged misconduct or improper reporting of credit information by the Company or its employees or agents. In addition, from time to time, the Company is subject to various regulatory investigations, inquiries and other actions, relating to its collection activities.

The Company has established loss provisions only for matters in which losses are probable and can be reasonably estimated. Some of the matters pending against the Company involve potential compensatory, punitive damage claims, fines or sanctions that, if granted, could require it to pay damages or make other expenditures in amounts that could have a material adverse effect on its financial position or results of operations. Although litigation is inherently uncertain, at this time, based on past experience, the information currently available and the possible availability of insurance and/or indemnification in some cases, the Company does not believe that the resolution of these matters will have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position or its results of operations.

#### **Purchase Commitments**

In the normal course of business, the Company enters into forward flow purchase agreements and other purchase commitment agreements. As of September 30, 2010, the Company has entered into agreements to purchase receivable portfolios with a face value of approximately \$1.9 billion for a purchase price of approximately \$66.6 million. Certain of these agreements allow the Company to terminate the commitment with 60 days notice or by paying a one-time cancellation fee. The Company does not anticipate cancelling any of these commitments at this time. The Company has no purchase commitments extending past one year.

---

## Table of Contents

### **Item 2—Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

#### **Special Note on Forward-Looking Statements**

The following discussion contains, in addition to historical information, forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Statements that are other than historical information are forward-looking statements. For example, statements relating to our beliefs, expectations and plans are forward-looking statements, as are statements that certain actions, conditions or circumstances will continue. Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, which are difficult to predict and many of which are beyond our control. Therefore, actual results could differ materially and adversely from those expressed in any forward-looking statements. For additional information regarding factors that may affect our actual financial condition and results of operations, see the information under the caption “Risk Factors” in Item 1A in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 and herein. We undertake no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements for any reason.

#### **Introduction**

We purchase portfolios of defaulted consumer receivables and manage them by partnering with individuals as they repay their obligations and work toward financial recovery. Defaulted receivables are consumers’ unpaid financial commitments to credit originators, including banks, credit unions, consumer finance companies, commercial retailers, auto finance companies and telecommunication companies which we purchase at deep discounts. Defaulted receivables include receivables subject to bankruptcy proceedings, or consumer bankruptcy receivables. Success in our business hinges on understanding, measuring, and predicting distressed consumer behavior, and we have invested heavily to build one of the industry’s strongest analytic platforms. We purchase receivables based on account-level valuation methods, and employ a suite of proprietary statistical models across the full extent of our operations. Moreover, we have one of the industry’s largest distressed consumer databases, comprised of approximately 20 million accounts. As a result, we have been able to historically realize significant returns from receivables we acquire. Our performance derives from our sophisticated and widespread use of analytics, our investments in data and consumer intelligence, our cost leadership position (based on our enterprise-wide, account-level cost database as well as our India facility), and our commitment to see principled intent drive every consumer interaction. We maintain strong relationships with many of the largest credit providers in the United States, and possess one of the industry’s best collection staff retention rates.

In addition, we provide bankruptcy support services to some of the largest companies in the financial services industry through our wholly-owned subsidiary Ascension Capital Group, Inc. (“Ascension”). Leveraging a proprietary software platform dedicated to bankruptcy servicing, Ascension’s operational platform integrates lenders, trustees, and consumers across the bankruptcy lifecycle.

#### **Market Overview**

While there has been some improvement in macroeconomic indicators during 2010, a broad economic recovery has yet to take hold. Minimal new jobs’ growth and limited credit availability continue to challenge U.S. consumers as demonstrated by weak consumer spending and volatile consumer confidence levels. Within the credit card space, we find mixed signals. Although charge-off rates remain at historic highs, delinquency levels have improved at a rate that may indicate a fundamental improvement in consumer financial strength. However, related measures, like personal bankruptcies and home foreclosures, remain elevated and indicate continued near-term pressure on the average consumer.

Despite this macroeconomic volatility, through the first three quarters of 2010, most of our internal collection metrics were consistent with, or better than, what we observed in 2008 and 2009. To illustrate, payer rates and average payment size, adjusted for changes in settlement-in-full vs. payment plan mix, remained constant. However, more of our consumers are opting to settle their debt obligations through payment plans as opposed to one-time settlements. Settlements made through payment plans impact our recoveries in two ways. First, the delay in cash flows from payments received over extended time periods may result in a provision for portfolio allowance. When a long-term payment stream (as compared to a one-time payment of the same amount) is discounted using a pool group’s internal rate of return, or IRR, the net present value is lower. In other words, despite the absolute value of total cash received being identical in both scenarios, accounting for the timing of cash flows in a payment plan yields a lower net present value which, in turn, can result in a provision for portfolio allowance. Second, payment plans inherently contain the possibility of consumers failing to complete all scheduled payments, which we term a “broken payer.”

## Table of Contents

Despite the generally negative broad macroeconomic environment, the rate at which consumers are honoring their obligations and completing their payment plans has increased in 2010 when compared to 2009. We believe this is the result of two factors. The first is our commitment to partner effectively with consumers during their recovery process. The second is the strength of our analytic platform, which allows us to make accurate and timely decisions about how best to maximize our portfolio returns. Nevertheless, payment plans may still produce broken payers that fail to fulfill all scheduled payments. When this happens, we are often successful in getting the consumer back on plan, but this is not always the case and in those instances where we are unable to do so, we experience a shortfall in recoveries as compared to our initial forecasts. Please refer to “Management’s Discussion and Analysis—Revenue” below for a more detailed explanation of the provision for portfolio allowances for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Throughout the credit crisis, we strategically invested in receivable portfolio as credit card charge-offs increased to historic levels and we believe that some of our competitors were (i) caught owning receivables with low yields as a result of purchasing certain portfolios at elevated pricing levels between 2005 and 2008 and (ii) faced with constrained access to capital to fund portfolio purchases due to depressed capital markets. These dynamics resulted in a recent supply-demand gap that dramatically reduced pricing of available portfolios, beginning in early 2009. For example, prices for freshly charged-off assets (i.e., receivables sold within thirty days of charge-off by the credit issuers) declined from a range of 8% – 13% in 2008 to a range of 5% – 9% in 2009 and early 2010. Similar price reductions were apparent across a broad range of defaulted consumer receivable asset classes (including credit cards and other consumer loans), balance ranges, and ages. After such a dramatic decline, pricing over the last few months has started to increase incrementally, but remains favorable when compared to 2005 through 2008 levels. In response to the price declines in 2009 and 2010, some issuers have opted not to sell all of their receivable portfolio and, instead, have pursued internal liquidation strategies or partnering with third party agencies. We believe that as pricing increases, these issuers will sell a greater percentage of their charged-off portfolios.

In light of the uncertainties presented by current market conditions, we believe we are employing a conservative approach to portfolio valuation as well as to forecasting recoveries. Furthermore, while we believe that consumers who have recently defaulted on their credit card debt (i.e., during bad economic conditions) are more likely to recover faster than consumers who have defaulted during earlier, stronger economic times, we have not factored this perspective into our forecasts.

When evaluating the long-term returns of our business, we believe that the benefits arising from the abovementioned conditions will outweigh the potential negative impact to recoveries stemming from additional consumer distress. However, our returns could be negatively impacted if the current environment re-attracts significant capital to our industry, causing prices to increase, or if the ability of consumers to repay their debt deteriorates further.

## **Purchases and Collections**

### *Purchases*

The following table summarizes the types of receivable portfolios we purchased for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Credit card	\$65,600	\$77,734	\$227,091	\$215,680
Consumer bankruptcy receivables <sup>(1)</sup>	7,924	—	7,924	—
Telecom	4,365	—	7,842	—
	<u>\$77,889</u>	<u>\$77,734</u>	<u>\$242,857</u>	<u>\$215,680</u>

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents portfolio receivables subject to Chapter 13 and Chapter 7 bankruptcy proceedings acquired from issuers.

During the three months ended September 30, 2010, we invested \$77.9 million in receivable portfolios, primarily for charged-off credit card, telecom and bankruptcy portfolios, with face values aggregating \$2.6 billion, for an average purchase price of 3.0% of the face value of the purchased receivables. This is a \$0.2 million increase, or 0.2%, in the amount invested, compared with the \$77.7 million invested during the three months ended September 30, 2009, to acquire receivable portfolios, primarily consisting of charged-off credit card portfolios, with a face value aggregating \$2.2 billion for an average purchase price of 3.6% of the face value of the purchased receivables.

## Table of Contents

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we invested \$242.9 million in receivable portfolios, primarily for charged-off credit card, telecom and bankruptcy portfolios with face values aggregating \$7.0 billion, for an average purchase price of 3.5% of the face value of the purchased receivables. This is a \$27.2 million increase, or 7.0%, in the amount invested, compared with the \$215.7 million invested during the nine months ended September 30, 2009, to acquire receivable portfolios, primarily consisting of charged-off credit card portfolios, with a face value aggregating \$5.5 billion for an average purchase price of 4.0% of the face value of the purchased receivables.

Average purchase price, as a percentage of face value, varies from period to period depending on, among other things, the quality of the accounts purchased and the length of time from charge off to the time we purchase the portfolios.

### ***Collections by Channel***

We utilize numerous business channels for the collection of charged-off credit card receivables and other charged-off receivables. The following table summarizes gross collections by collection channel in the respective periods (*in thousands*):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Collection sites	\$ 67,089	\$ 45,122	\$199,513	\$140,144
Legal collections	71,773	55,584	196,995	173,451
Collection agencies	18,065	19,705	57,777	42,878
Sales	—	5,299	—	6,843
Other	445	—	1,143	—
	<u>\$157,372</u>	<u>\$125,710</u>	<u>\$455,428</u>	<u>\$363,316</u>

Gross collections increased \$31.7 million, or 25.2%, to \$157.4 million during the three months ended September 30, 2010, from \$125.7 million during the three months ended September 30, 2009. Gross collections increased \$92.1 million, or 25.4%, to \$455.4 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, from \$363.3 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

A portion of our collections comes from the weekly remittances we receive from our law firm and agency partners. Typically there are 13 remittances in each quarter; however, there were 14 remittances during the three months ended September 30, 2010, 12 remittances during the three months ended March 31, 2010 and the typical 13 remittances during the three months ended June 30, 2010. As our average weekly remittances have grown to approximately \$6.5 million, our collections for the three months ended September 30, 2010 were positively affected by the one additional weekly remittance. There were 13 remittances in each quarter during each of the three months ended March 31, 2009, June 30, 2009 and September 2009. The fourth quarter of 2010 will have the typical 13 weekly remittances. Our business typically experiences seasonality where collections decline in the third and fourth quarters. As a result of seasonality and the impact of weekly remittances described above, we anticipate that collections in the fourth quarter of 2010 will decrease from the third quarter of 2010.





## [Table of Contents](#)

### Comparison of Results of Operations

#### Revenue

Our revenue consists primarily of portfolio revenue and bankruptcy servicing revenue. Portfolio revenue consists of accretion revenue and zero basis revenue. Accretion revenue represents revenue derived from pools (quarterly groupings of purchased receivable portfolios) with a cost basis that has not been fully amortized. Revenue from pools with a remaining unamortized cost basis is accrued based on each pool's effective interest rate applied to each pool's remaining unamortized cost basis. The cost basis of each pool is increased by revenue earned and decreased by gross collections and portfolio allowances. The effective interest rate is the internal rate of return derived from the timing and amounts of actual cash received and anticipated future cash flow projections for each pool. All collections realized after the net book value of a portfolio has been fully recovered, or Zero Basis Portfolios, are recorded as revenue, or Zero Basis Revenue or allowance reversal if applicable. We account for our investment in receivable portfolios utilizing the interest method in accordance with the authoritative guidance for loans and debt securities acquired with deteriorated credit quality. Servicing fee revenue is revenue primarily associated with bankruptcy servicing fees earned from our Ascension subsidiary, a provider of bankruptcy services to the finance industry.

The following tables summarize collections, revenue, end of period receivable balance and other related supplemental data, by year of purchase (*in thousands, except percentages*):

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2010					As of September 30, 2010	
	Collections <sup>(1)</sup>	Gross Revenue <sup>(2)</sup>	Revenue Recognition Rate <sup>(3)</sup>	Net Reversal (Portfolio Allowance)	Revenue % of Total Revenue	Unamortized Balances	Monthly IRR <sup>(4)</sup>
ZBA	\$ 3,078	\$ 2,632	85.5%	\$ 446	2.6%	\$ —	—
2003	490	8	1.6%	457	0.0%	—	30.3%
2004	2,020	574	28.4%	624	0.6%	1,964	6.7%
2005	6,708	3,804	56.7%	(403)	3.8%	20,555	5.6%
2006	6,439	5,055	78.5%	(2,533)	5.1%	31,326	5.1%
2007	17,033	10,435	61.3%	(1,240)	10.5%	42,900	7.0%
2008	31,095	18,406	59.2%	(3,408)	18.4%	111,207	5.1%
2009	50,958	33,477	65.7%	—	33.5%	163,442	6.4%
2010	39,508	25,488	64.5%	—	25.5%	208,760	4.5%
Total	\$ 157,329	\$ 99,879	63.5%	\$ (6,057)	100.0%	\$ 580,154	5.4%

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2009					As of September 30, 2009	
	Collections <sup>(1)</sup>	Gross Revenue <sup>(2)</sup>	Revenue Recognition Rate <sup>(3)</sup>	Net Reversal (Portfolio Allowance)	Revenue % of Total Revenue	Unamortized Balances	Monthly IRR <sup>(4)</sup>
ZBA	\$ 2,100	\$ 2,100	100.0%	\$ —	2.6%	\$ —	—
2002	630	31	4.9%	511	0.0%	—	0.0%
2003	1,770	1,240	70.1%	(86)	1.5%	967	31.2%
2004	2,648	1,571	59.3%	(147)	1.9%	5,688	8.2%
2005	10,729	6,223	58.0%	(403)	7.7%	33,805	5.6%
2006	12,274	7,698	62.7%	(59)	9.5%	46,932	5.1%
2007	25,659	14,727	57.4%	(2,247)	18.3%	79,562	5.6%
2008	38,715	26,861	69.4%	(1,901)	33.3%	170,640	5.0%
2009	31,111	20,329	65.3%	—	25.2%	197,062	4.3%
Total	\$ 125,636	\$ 80,780	64.3%	\$ (4,332)	100.0%	\$ 534,656	5.0%

## Table of Contents

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010					As of September 30, 2010	
	Collections <sup>(1)</sup>	Gross Revenue <sup>(2)</sup>	Revenue Recognition Rate <sup>(3)</sup>	Net Reversal (Portfolio Allowance)	Revenue % of Total Revenue	Unamortized Balances	Monthly IRR <sup>(4)</sup>
ZBA	\$ 7,489	\$ 7,043	94.0%	\$ 447	2.5%	\$ —	—
2002	417	—	0.0%	417	0.0%	—	—
2003	3,215	759	23.6%	1,829	0.3%	—	30.3%
2004	6,369	2,479	38.9%	1,260	0.9%	1,964	6.7%
2005	21,735	13,072	60.1%	(1,585)	4.6%	20,555	5.6%
2006	20,986	17,009	81.0%	(7,797)	6.0%	31,326	5.1%
2007	57,311	34,595	60.4%	(3,108)	12.1%	42,900	7.0%
2008	100,560	62,247	61.9%	(8,240)	21.7%	111,207	5.1%
2009	160,066	104,365	65.2%	—	36.6%	163,442	6.4%
2010	77,099	43,782	56.8%	—	15.3%	208,760	4.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 455,247</b>	<b>\$ 285,351</b>	<b>62.7%</b>	<b>\$ (16,777)</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$ 580,154</b>	<b>5.4%</b>

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009					As of September 30, 2009	
	Collections <sup>(1)</sup>	Gross Revenue <sup>(2)</sup>	Revenue Recognition Rate <sup>(3)</sup>	Net Reversal (Portfolio Allowance)	Revenue % of Total Revenue	Unamortized Balances	Monthly IRR <sup>(4)</sup>
ZBA	\$ 6,957	\$ 6,957	100.0%	\$ —	2.9%	\$ —	—
2002	2,341	903	38.6%	764	0.4%	—	0.0%
2003	6,366	5,169	81.2%	(495)	2.2%	967	31.2%
2004	8,964	5,626	62.8%	(644)	2.4%	5,688	8.2%
2005	33,892	20,901	61.7%	(1,816)	8.8%	33,805	5.6%
2006	36,406	24,949	68.5%	(2,953)	10.5%	46,932	5.1%
2007	89,090	50,704	56.9%	(4,228)	21.4%	79,562	5.6%
2008	127,048	87,789	69.1%	(4,951)	37.0%	170,640	5.0%
2009	51,966	34,013	65.5%	—	14.4%	197,062	4.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 363,030</b>	<b>\$ 237,011</b>	<b>65.3%</b>	<b>\$ (14,323)</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$ 534,656</b>	<b>5.0%</b>

(1) Does not include amounts collected on behalf of others.

(2) Gross revenue excludes the effects of net portfolio allowances or net portfolio allowance reversals.

(3) Revenue recognition rate excludes the effects of net portfolio allowances or net portfolio allowance reversals.

(4) Our monthly IRR is calculated based on the weighted average of each pool's IRR relative to each pool's percentage of the unamortized balance for each year of purchase. Therefore, it is possible for the monthly IRR to be lower than that reported in the prior quarter due to this weighted average calculations.

Total revenue was \$98.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$17.6 million, or 21.9%, compared to total revenue of \$80.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. Portfolio revenue was \$93.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$17.4 million, or 22.7%, compared to portfolio revenue of \$76.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009.

Total revenue was \$281.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$46.6 million, or 19.9%, compared to total revenue of \$234.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. Portfolio revenue was \$268.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$45.9 million, or 20.6%, compared to portfolio revenue of \$222.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009.

---

## Table of Contents

The increase in portfolio revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, was primarily the result of additional accretion revenue associated with a higher portfolio balance during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively and an increase in the IRRs for pool groups where we have continued to collect more than our forecasts. During the three months ended September 30, 2010, we recorded a net portfolio allowance provision of \$6.1 million, compared to a net portfolio allowance provision of \$4.3 million in the same period of the prior year. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we recorded a net portfolio allowance provision of \$16.8 million, compared to a net portfolio allowance provision of \$14.3 million in the same period of the prior year. The net provision for portfolio allowances for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 was largely due to a shortfall in collections in certain pool groups as compared to our forecast. While our total collections exceeded our forecast, there was variability at the pool group level between our actual collections and our forecasts, primarily in our 2005 through 2008 vintage portfolios. This is the result of several factors, including pressure on the consumer due to a weakened economy, changes in internal operating strategy, shifts in consumer payment patterns, and the inherent challenge of forecasting collections at the pool group level.

Revenue associated with bankruptcy servicing fees earned from Ascension was \$4.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$0.2 million, or 5.2%, compared to revenue of \$3.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. Revenue associated with bankruptcy servicing fees earned from Ascension was \$12.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$0.8 million, or 6.7%, compared to revenue of \$12.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. The increase in Ascension revenue was due to the recognition of previously deferred servicing revenue.

### **Operating Expenses**

Total operating expenses were \$74.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$12.8 million, or 20.7%, compared to total operating expenses of \$61.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009.

Total operating expenses were \$212.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$27.5 million, or 14.9%, compared to total operating expenses of \$185.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

Operating expenses are explained in more detail as follows:

#### ***Salaries and employee benefits***

Total salaries and employee benefits increased \$1.8 million, or 12.2%, to \$16.2 million during the three months ended September 30, 2010, from \$14.4 million during the three months ended September 30, 2009. Total salaries and employee benefits increased \$5.0 million, or 11.6%, to \$48.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, from \$43.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase was primarily the result of increases in headcount and related compensation expense to support our growth.

#### ***Stock-based compensation expenses***

Stock-based compensation increased \$0.3 million, or 22.8%, to \$1.5 million during the three months ended September 30, 2010, from \$1.3 million during the three months ended September 30, 2009. This increase was primarily attributable to the higher fair value of equity awards granted in recent periods due to an increase in our stock price.

Stock-based compensation increased \$1.5 million, or 42.6%, to \$4.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, from \$3.3 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This increase was primarily attributable to awards granted to our senior management team in the three months ended March 31, 2010 and the higher fair value of equity awards granted in recent periods due to an increase in our stock price.

## [Table of Contents](#)

### *Cost of legal collections*

The cost of legal collections increased \$7.8 million, or 29.7%, to \$33.9 million during the three months ended September 30, 2010, compared to \$26.1 million during the three months ended September 30, 2009. These costs represent contingent fees paid to our nationwide network of attorneys and costs of litigation. The increase in the cost of legal collections was primarily the result of an increase of \$16.2 million, or 29.1%, in gross collections through our legal channel and upfront litigation costs. Gross legal collections amounted to \$71.8 million during the three months ended September 30, 2010, up from \$55.6 million collected during the three months ended September 30, 2009. The cost of legal collections increased as a percent of gross collections through this channel to 47.2% during the three months ended September 30, 2010, from 46.9% during the three months ended September 30, 2009, primarily due to increased volume of accounts placed in the legal channel, offset by the impact of the reversal in September 2009 of court costs previously deferred in connection with our settled arbitration with Jefferson Capital, and a reduction in the commissions percentage in 2010 compared to 2009.

The cost of legal collections increased \$6.8 million, or 8.1%, to \$91.5 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to \$84.7 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009. These costs represent contingent fees paid to our nationwide network of attorneys and costs of litigation. The increase in the cost of legal collections was primarily the result of an increase of \$23.5 million, or 13.6%, in gross collections through our legal channel and upfront litigation costs. Gross legal collections amounted to \$197.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, up from \$173.5 million collected during the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The cost of legal collections as a percent of gross collections through this channel decreased to 46.5% during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, from 48.8% during the nine months ended September 30, 2009, primarily due to more targeted account placements and the effect of the deferred court cost reversal in September 2009 related to our settled arbitration with Jefferson Capital.

The following table summarizes our legal collection channel performance and related direct costs (*in thousands, except percentages*):

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2010		2009		2010		2009	
Collections	<u>\$71,773</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$55,584</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$196,995</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$173,451</u>	<u>100.0%</u>
Court costs advanced	20,961	29.2%	14,038	25.3%	52,761	26.8%	50,390	29.0%
Court costs deferred	(7,850)	(10.9)%	(6,056)	(10.9)%	(19,693)	(10.0)%	(18,552)	(10.7)%
Deferred court costs reversal <sup>(1)</sup>	—	—	1,696	3.0%	—	—	1,696	1.0%
Court cost expense <sup>(2)</sup>	<u>13,111</u>	<u>18.3%</u>	<u>9,678</u>	<u>17.4%</u>	<u>33,068</u>	<u>16.8%</u>	<u>33,534</u>	<u>19.3%</u>
Other <sup>(3)</sup>	661	0.9%	590	1.0%	1,875	1.0%	1,618	0.9%
Commissions	<u>20,079</u>	<u>28.0%</u>	<u>15,824</u>	<u>28.5%</u>	<u>56,576</u>	<u>28.7%</u>	<u>49,512</u>	<u>28.6%</u>
Total Costs	<u>\$33,851</u>	<u>47.2%</u>	<u>\$26,092</u>	<u>46.9%</u>	<u>\$ 91,519</u>	<u>46.5%</u>	<u>\$ 84,664</u>	<u>48.8%</u>

<sup>(1)</sup> Primarily related to our settled arbitration with Jefferson Capital in September 2009. As part of the settlement with Jefferson Capital, we returned accounts that were subject to Jefferson Capital's settlement with the FTC. A portion of those accounts were in our legal channel and, when these were returned, resulted in the reversal of court costs previously deferred.

<sup>(2)</sup> In connection with our agreement with contracted attorneys, we advance certain out-of-pocket court costs. We capitalize these costs in our consolidated financial statements and provide a reserve and corresponding court cost expense for the costs that we believe will be ultimately uncollectible. This amount includes changes in our anticipated recovery rate of court costs expensed.

<sup>(3)</sup> Other costs consist of costs related to counter claims and legal network subscription fees.

### *Other operating expenses*

Other operating expenses increased \$3.5 million, or 57.6%, to \$9.5 million during the three months ended September 30, 2010, from \$6.0 million during the three months ended September 30, 2009. The increase was primarily the result of an increase of \$1.4 million in direct mail campaign expenses, an increase of \$0.6 million in media-related expenses, an increase of \$0.3 million in telephone expenses, and a net increase in various other operating expenses of \$1.2 million, all to support our growth.

Other operating expenses increased \$9.1 million, or 48.6%, to \$27.7 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, from \$18.6 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase was primarily the result of an increase of \$2.8 million in direct mail campaign expenses, an increase of \$1.7 million in media-related expenses, an increase of \$1.8 million in telephone expenses, an increase of \$0.8 million in skip tracing expenses, and a net increase in various other operating expenses of \$2.0 million, all to support our growth.

## [Table of Contents](#)

### *Collection agency commissions*

During the three months ended September 30, 2010, we incurred \$5.4 million in commissions to third party collection agencies, or 29.8%, of the related gross collections of \$18.1 million, compared to \$5.8 million in commissions, or 29.4%, of the related gross collections of \$19.7 million during the three months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease in commissions was primarily due to the decrease in collections through this channel, offset by a higher net commission rate due to the mix of accounts placed with the agencies. Commissions, as a percentage of collections through this channel, vary from period to period depending on, among other things, the time from charge-off of the accounts placed with an agency. Generally, freshly charged-off accounts have a lower commission rate than accounts that have been charged off for a longer period of time. During the three months ended September 30, 2010, we placed fewer freshly charged-off accounts with the agencies as compared to the same period in the prior year.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we incurred \$17.1 million in commissions to third party collection agencies, or 29.6%, of the related gross collections of \$57.8 million, compared to \$13.5 million in commissions, or 31.4%, of the related gross collections of \$42.9 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase in commissions was due to the increase in collections through this channel, offset by a lower net commission rate. The decrease in the net commission rate as a percentage of the related gross collections was primarily due to the mix of accounts placed with the agencies. Commissions, as a percentage of collections through this channel, vary from period to period depending on, among other things, the time from charge-off of the accounts placed with an agency. Generally, freshly charged-off accounts have a lower commission rate than accounts that have been charged off for a longer period of time. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we placed more freshly charged-off accounts with the agencies as compared to the same period in the prior year.

### *General and administrative expenses*

General and administrative expenses decreased \$0.3 million, or 4.1%, to \$7.0 million during the three months ended September 30, 2010, from \$7.3 million during the three months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily the result of a decrease of \$1.7 million in corporate legal expenses due to incurring significant legal expenses in 2009 in connection with our settled arbitration with Jefferson Capital. The decrease was offset by an increase of \$0.3 million in legal settlements, an increase of \$0.4 million in system maintenance costs, and a net increase in other general and administrative expenses of \$0.7 million.

General and administrative expenses increased \$1.2 million, or 6.0%, to \$21.3 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, from \$20.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase was primarily the result of an increase of \$1.6 million in legal settlements, an increase of \$0.3 million in consulting fees, an increase of \$1.0 million in system maintenance costs, and a net increase in other general and administrative expenses of \$2.3 million. The increase was offset by a decrease of \$4.0 million in corporate legal expenses due to incurring significant legal expenses in 2009 in connection with our settled arbitration with Jefferson Capital.

### *Cost per Dollar Collected*

The following table summarizes our cost per dollar collected (*in thousands, except percentages*):

	Three Months Ended September 30,							
	2010				2009			
	Collections	Cost	Cost Per Channel Dollar Collected	Cost Per Total Dollar Collected	Collections	Cost	Cost Per Channel Dollar Collected	Cost Per Total Dollar Collected
Collection sites	\$ 67,089	\$ 6,210 <sup>(1)</sup>	9.3%	4.0%	\$ 45,122	\$ 5,670 <sup>(1)</sup>	12.6%	4.5%
Legal networks	71,773	33,851	47.2%	21.5%	55,584	26,092	46.9%	20.8%
Collection agency outsourcing	18,065	5,389	29.8%	3.4%	19,705	5,795	29.4%	4.6%
Sales and other	445	—	—	—	5,299	—	—	—
Other indirect costs <sup>(2)</sup>	—	23,604	—	15.0%	—	19,469	—	15.5%
Total	<u>\$ 157,372</u>	<u>\$69,054<sup>(3)</sup></u>		<u>43.9%</u>	<u>\$ 125,710</u>	<u>\$57,026<sup>(3)</sup></u>		<u>45.4%</u>

## Table of Contents

	Nine Months Ended September 30,							
	2010				2009			
	Collections	Cost	Cost Per Channel Dollar Collected	Cost Per Total Dollar Collected	Collections	Cost	Cost Per Channel Dollar Collected	Cost Per Total Dollar Collected
Collection sites	\$ 199,513	\$ 18,578 <sup>(1)</sup>	9.3%	4.1%	\$ 140,144	\$ 17,150 <sup>(1)</sup>	12.2%	4.7%
Legal networks	196,995	91,519	46.5%	20.1%	173,451	84,665	48.8%	23.3%
Collection agency outsourcing	57,777	17,098	29.6%	3.7%	42,878	13,483	31.4%	3.7%
Sales and other	1,143	—	—	—	6,843	—	—	—
Other indirect costs <sup>(2)</sup>	—	70,464	—	15.5%	—	56,483	—	15.6%
Total	<u>\$ 455,428</u>	<u>\$ 197,659<sup>(3)</sup></u>		<u>43.4%</u>	<u>\$ 363,316</u>	<u>\$ 171,781<sup>(3)</sup></u>		<u>47.3%</u>

(1) Represents only account manager salaries, variable compensation and employee benefits.

(2) Other indirect costs represent non collection salaries and employee benefits, general and administrative expenses, other operating expenses, and depreciation and amortization.

(3) Represents all operating expenses excluding stock-based compensation expense and bankruptcy servicing operating expenses. We include this information in order to facilitate a comparison of approximate cash costs to cash collections for the debt purchasing business in the periods presented. Refer to the reconciliation of operating expenses, excluding stock-based compensation expense and bankruptcy servicing operating expenses to GAAP total operating expenses in the table below.

During the three months ended September 30, 2010, cost per dollar collected decreased by 150 basis points to 43.9% of gross collections from 45.4% of gross collections during the three months ended September 30, 2009. This decrease was due to several factors, including:

- The cost from our collection sites, account manager salaries, variable compensation and employee benefits, as a percentage of total collections, decreased to 4.0% in three months ended September 30, 2010 from 4.5% in the three months ended September 30, 2009 and, as a percentage of our site collections, decreased to 9.3% in three months ended September 30, 2010 from 12.6% in the three months ended September 30, 2009. These decreases were primarily due to the growth of our collection workforce in India.
- The cost of collection agency commissions, as a percentage of total collections, decreased to 3.4% in the three months ended September 30, 2010 from 4.6% in the three months ended September 30, 2009. This decrease was due to a reduction in collection agency collections in the three months ended September 30, 2010 when compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009. The cost of collection agency commissions, as a percentage of collection agency channel collections, increased from 29.4% in the three months ended September 30, 2009 to 29.8% in three months ended September 30, 2010. This was the result of a change in the mix of accounts placed into this channel. Freshly charged-off accounts have a lower commission rate than accounts that have been charged off for a longer period of time. During the three months ended September 30, 2010, we placed fewer freshly charged-off accounts with our agencies as compared to the same period in the prior year.
- Other costs, not directly attributable to specific channel collections, including non collection salaries and employee benefits, general and administrative expenses, other operating expenses, and depreciation and amortization, decreased as a percentage of total collection to 15.0% in the three months ended September 30, 2010 from 15.5% in the three months ended September 30, 2009. While in dollar terms these costs increased in order to support the growth of our business, our collections grew at a faster rate, resulting in a reduction in other indirect costs as a percent of total collections.

The decrease was offset by:

- An increase in the cost of legal collections, as a percent of total collections, to 21.5% in the three months ended September 30, 2010 from 20.8% in the three months ended September 30, 2009. This increase was due to an increase in commissions and court cost expense due to growth in the legal channel. The cost of legal collections, as a percentage of legal collections, increased to 47.2% in the three months ended September 30, 2010 from 46.9% in the three months ended September 30, 2009. The increase was primarily a result of increased upfront court costs associated with our pursuit of legal collections, offset by a reduction in the commissions percentage in 2010 compared to 2009.

## Table of Contents

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, cost per dollar collected decreased by 390 basis points to 43.4% of gross collections from 47.3% of gross collections during the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This decrease was due to several factors, including:

- The cost from our collection sites, account manager salaries, variable compensation and employee benefits, as a percentage of total collections, decreased to 4.1% in nine months ended September 30, 2010 from 4.7% in the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and, as a percentage of our site collections, decreased to 9.3% in nine months ended September 30, 2010 from 12.2% in the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily due to in the growth of our collection workforce in India and a change in our domestic compensation plan structure.
- The cost of legal collections as a percent of total collections decreased to 20.1% in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 from 23.3% in the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and, as a percentage of legal collections, decreased to 46.5% in nine months ended September 30, 2010 from 48.8% in the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily due to more targeted placement volumes as part of an initiative to sue higher quality accounts and the effect of the deferred court cost reversal related to our Jefferson Capital settlement in September 2009.
- The cost of collection agency commissions, as a percentage of total collections, remained constant at 3.7% in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009. The cost in collection agency commissions, as a percentage of channel collections, decreased to 29.6% in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 from 31.4% in nine months ended September 30, 2009. This decrease was the result of a change in the mix of accounts placed into this channel. Freshly charged-off accounts have a lower commission rate than accounts that have been charged off for a longer period of time. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we placed more freshly charged-off accounts with our agencies as compared to the same period in the prior year.
- Other costs not directly attributable to specific channel collections, including non collection salaries and employee benefits, general and administrative expenses, other operating expenses, and depreciation and amortization, decreased slightly as a percentage of total collection to 15.5% in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 from 15.6% in the nine months ended September 30, 2009. While in dollar terms these costs increased in order to support the growth of our business, our collections grew at a faster rate, resulting in a slight reduction in other indirect costs as a percent of total collections.

Cost per dollar collected can fluctuate based on the contribution by each collection channel and our overall collection volume.

The following table provides a reconciliation of operating expenses, excluding stock-based compensation expense and bankruptcy servicing operating expenses to GAAP total operating expenses, (*in thousands*):

	<u>Three Months Ended September 30,</u>		<u>Nine Months Ended September 30,</u>	
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
GAAP total operating expenses, as reported	\$ 74,265	\$ 61,525	\$ 212,688	\$ 185,194
Stock-based compensation expense	(1,549)	(1,261)	(4,756)	(3,335)
Bankruptcy servicing operating expenses	(3,662)	(3,238)	(10,273)	(10,078)
Operating expenses, excluding stock-based compensation expense and bankruptcy servicing operating expenses	<u>\$ 69,054</u>	<u>\$ 57,026</u>	<u>\$ 197,659</u>	<u>\$ 171,781</u>

### **India Expansion**

Due to the continued strong performance of our team in India and our ability to reduce our overall site cost to collect through the expansion of our offshore collection efforts, we have negotiated a lease for an additional space in India. This space is located adjacent to our current site in Gurgaon, India and will allow us to expand our collector headcount up to an additional 200 account managers throughout 2011.

### **Interest Expense**

Interest expense increased \$0.9 million, or 24.1%, to \$4.9 million during the three months ended September 30, 2010, from \$4.0 million during the three months ended September 30, 2009. Interest expense increased \$2.1 million, or 17.6%, to \$14.3 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, from \$12.2 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

## Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our interest expense (*in thousands*):

	Three Months Ended September 30,			
	2010	2009	\$ Change	% Change
Stated interest on debt obligations	\$3,851	\$3,029	\$ 822	27.1%
Amortization of loan fees and other loan costs	448	290	158	54.5%
Amortization of debt discount – convertible notes	629	651	(22)	(3.4)%
Total interest expense	<u>\$4,928</u>	<u>\$3,970</u>	<u>\$ 958</u>	24.1%

  

	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2010	2009	\$ Change	% Change
Stated interest on debt obligations	\$11,076	\$ 9,101	\$ 1,975	21.7%
Amortization of loan fees and other loan costs	1,257	889	368	41.4%
Amortization of debt discount – convertible notes	2,013	2,211	(198)	(9.0)%
Total interest expense	<u>\$14,346</u>	<u>\$12,201</u>	<u>\$ 2,145</u>	17.6%

Stated interest on debt obligations increased \$0.8 million during the three months ended September 30, 2010, compared to the same period of the prior year. Stated interest on debt obligations increased \$2.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to the same period of the prior year. The increases in stated interest on debt obligations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, were primarily due to an increase in our outstanding loan balances and an increase in the credit spread required under our new 2010 Revolving Credit Facility.

### **Provision for Income Taxes**

During the three months ended September 30, 2010, we recorded an income tax provision of \$6.6 million, reflecting an effective rate of 35.0% of pretax income. The effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2010, consisted of a provision for federal income taxes of 32.8% (which is net of a benefit for state taxes of 2.2%), a blended provision for state taxes of 6.4%, a benefit of permanent book versus tax differences of 1.6%, a benefit of an Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) interest refund of 0.5%, a benefit from a state refund of 0.3%, and a benefit for the reduction in the state effective tax rate and the applicable true up of the state and federal tax accounts of 1.8%. During the three months ended September 30, 2009, we recorded an income tax provision of \$5.9 million, reflecting an effective rate of 39.8% of pretax income. Our effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2009, primarily consisted of a provision for federal income taxes of 32.3% (which is net of a benefit for state taxes of 2.7%), a provision for state taxes of 7.8%, a benefit of permanent book versus tax differences of 0.6%, and a provision for the true-up of the state and federal tax accounts of 0.3%.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we recorded an income tax provision of \$19.9 million, reflecting an effective rate of 36.3% of pretax income. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 consisted of a provision for federal income taxes of 32.8% (which is net of a benefit for state taxes of 2.2%), a blended provision for state taxes of 6.4%, a benefit for the effect of permanent book versus tax differences of 1.8%, a benefit of an IRS tax and interest refund of 0.7%, a benefit from a state refund of 0.1%, and a benefit for the reduction in the state tax effective rate and the applicable true up of the state and federal tax accounts of 0.3%. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we recorded an income tax provision of \$16.1 million, reflecting an effective rate of 39.5% of pretax income. Our effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, primarily consisted of a provision for federal income taxes of 32.3% (which is net of a benefit for state taxes of 2.7%), a provision for state taxes of 7.8%, and a benefit of permanent book versus tax differences of 0.6%.



## [Table of Contents](#)

### Supplemental Performance Data

#### Cumulative Collections to Purchase Price Multiple

The following table summarizes our purchases and related gross collections by year of purchase (in thousands, except multiples):

Year of Purchase	Cumulative Collections through September 30, 2010										
	Purchase Price <sup>(1)</sup>	<2004	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Total <sup>(2)</sup>	CCM <sup>(3)</sup>
<2004	\$ 284,161 <sup>(4)</sup>	\$517,451	\$192,940	\$144,775	\$109,379	\$ 50,708	\$ 26,777	\$ 16,345	\$ 9,477	\$1,067,852	3.8
2004	101,324	—	39,400	79,845	54,832	34,625	19,116	11,363	6,369	245,550	2.4
2005	192,590	—	—	66,491	129,809	109,078	67,346	42,387	21,870	436,981	2.3
2006	141,041	—	—	—	42,354	92,265	70,743	44,553	20,994	270,909	1.9
2007	204,292	—	—	—	—	68,048	145,272	111,117	57,311	381,748	1.9
2008	227,912	—	—	—	—	—	69,049	165,164	101,648	335,861	1.5
2009	253,533	—	—	—	—	—	—	96,529	160,399	256,928	1.0
2010	242,083	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	77,179	77,179	0.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,646,936</b>	<b>\$517,451</b>	<b>\$232,340</b>	<b>\$291,111</b>	<b>\$336,374</b>	<b>\$354,724</b>	<b>\$398,303</b>	<b>\$487,458</b>	<b>\$455,247</b>	<b>\$3,073,008</b>	<b>1.9</b>

(1) Adjusted for put-backs, account recalls, purchase price rescissions, and the impact of an acquisition in 2000. Put-backs represent accounts that are returned to the seller in accordance with the respective purchase agreement ("Put-Backs"). Recalls represents accounts that are recalled by the seller in accordance with the respective purchase agreement ("Recalls").

(2) Cumulative collections from inception through September 30, 2010, excluding collections on behalf of others.

(3) Cumulative Collections Multiple ("CCM") through September 30, 2010 – collections as a multiple of purchase price.

(4) From inception through December 31, 2003.

#### Total Estimated Collections to Purchase Price Multiple

The following table summarizes our purchases, resulting historical gross collections, and estimated remaining gross collections, by year of purchase (in thousands, except multiples):

	Purchase Price <sup>(1)</sup>	Historical Collections <sup>(2)</sup>	Estimated Remaining Collections	Total Estimated Gross Collections	Total Estimated Gross Collections to Purchase Price
<2004	\$ 284,161 <sup>(3)</sup>	\$1,067,852	\$ 35	\$ 1,067,887	3.8
2004	101,324	245,550	2,914	248,464	2.5
2005	192,590	436,981	33,549	470,530	2.4
2006	141,041	270,909	61,319	332,228	2.4
2007	204,292	381,748	94,588	476,336	2.3
2008	227,912	335,861	233,459	569,320	2.5
2009	253,533	256,928	396,296	653,224	2.6
2010	242,083	77,179	470,106	547,285	2.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,646,936</b>	<b>\$3,073,008</b>	<b>\$1,292,266</b>	<b>\$ 4,365,274</b>	<b>2.7</b>

(1) Adjusted for Put-Backs, Recalls, purchase price rescissions, and the impact of an acquisition in 2000.

(2) Cumulative collections from inception through September 30, 2010, excluding collections on behalf of others.

(3) From inception through December 31, 2003.

## Table of Contents

### *Estimated Remaining Gross Collections by Year of Purchase*

The following table summarizes our estimated remaining gross collections by year of purchase (*in thousands*):

	Estimated Remaining Gross Collections by Year of Purchase								Total
	2010 <sup>(2)</sup>	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	
<2004 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 35	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 35
2004	1,180	1,734	—	—	—	—	—	—	2,914
2005	6,169	20,434	6,929	17	—	—	—	—	33,549
2006	5,707	27,022	19,290	9,300	—	—	—	—	61,319
2007	13,701	39,048	24,427	13,704	3,708	—	—	—	94,588
2008	27,296	89,490	55,528	34,785	19,494	6,866	—	—	233,459
2009	40,751	155,393	103,065	51,189	27,716	13,387	4,795	—	396,296
2010	36,173	148,844	128,341	72,200	42,495	24,204	13,639	4,210	470,106
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$131,012</b>	<b>\$481,965</b>	<b>\$337,580</b>	<b>\$181,195</b>	<b>\$93,413</b>	<b>\$44,457</b>	<b>\$18,434</b>	<b>\$4,210</b>	<b>\$1,292,266</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> Estimated remaining collections for Zero Basis Portfolios can extend beyond the 84-month accrual basis collection forecast.

<sup>(2)</sup> 2010 amount consists of three months data from October 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010.

### *Unamortized Balances of Portfolios*

The following table summarizes the remaining unamortized balances of our purchased receivable portfolios by year of purchase (*in thousands, except percentages*):

	Unamortized Balance as of September 30, 2010	Purchase Price <sup>(1)</sup>	Unamortized Balance as a Percentage of Purchase Price	Unamortized Balance as a Percentage of Total
2004	\$ 1,964	101,324	1.9%	0.3%
2005	20,555	192,590	10.7%	3.5%
2006	31,326	141,041	22.2%	5.4%
2007	42,900	204,292	21.0%	7.4%
2008	111,207	227,912	48.8%	19.2%
2009	163,442	253,533	64.5%	28.2%
2010	208,760	242,083	86.2%	36.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 580,154</b>	<b>\$ 1,362,775</b>	<b>42.6%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> Purchase price refers to the cash paid to a seller to acquire a portfolio less Put-Backs, plus an allocation of our forward flow asset (if applicable), and less the purchase price for accounts that were sold at the time of purchase to another debt purchaser.

### *Changes in the Investment in Receivable Portfolios*

Revenue related to our investment in receivable portfolios comprises two groups. First, revenue from those portfolios that have a remaining book value and are accounted for on the accrual basis (“Accrual Basis Portfolios”), and second, revenue from those portfolios that have fully recovered their book value Zero Basis Portfolios and, therefore, every dollar of gross collections is recorded entirely as Zero Basis Revenue or allowance reversal if applicable. If the amount and timing of future cash collections on a pool of receivables are not reasonably estimable, we account for such portfolios on the cost recovery method (“Cost Recovery Portfolios”). No revenue is recognized on Cost Recovery Portfolios until the cost basis has been fully recovered, at which time they become Zero Basis Portfolios.

## Table of Contents

The following tables summarize the changes in the balance of the investment in receivable portfolios and the proportion of revenue recognized as a percentage of collections (*in thousands, except percentages*):

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2010			
	Accrual Basis Portfolios	Cost Recovery Portfolios	Zero Basis Portfolios	Total
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 566,815	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 566,815
Purchases of receivable portfolios	77,889	—	—	77,889
Gross collections <sup>(1)</sup>	(154,251)	—	(3,078)	(157,329)
Put-backs and recalls	(1,043)	—	—	(1,043)
Revenue recognized <sup>(2)</sup>	97,247	—	2,632	99,879
(Portfolio allowances) portfolio allowance reversals, net	(6,503)	—	446	(6,057)
Balance, end of period	\$ 580,154	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 580,154
Revenue as a percentage of collections <sup>(3)</sup>	63.0%	0.0%	85.5%	63.5%

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2009			
	Accrual Basis Portfolios	Cost Recovery Portfolios	Zero Basis Portfolios	Total
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 506,155	\$ 553	\$ —	\$ 506,708
Purchases of receivable portfolios	77,734	—	—	77,734
Gross collections <sup>(1)</sup>	(123,498)	(25)	(2,113)	(125,636)
Put-backs and recalls	(598)	—	—	(598)
Revenue recognized <sup>(2)</sup>	78,680	—	2,100	80,780
(Portfolio allowances) portfolio allowance reversals, net	(4,345)	—	13	(4,332)
Balance, end of period	\$ 534,128	\$ 528	\$ —	\$ 534,656
Revenue as a percentage of collections <sup>(3)</sup>	63.7%	0.0%	99.4%	64.3%

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010			
	Accrual Basis Portfolios	Cost Recovery Portfolios	Zero Basis Portfolios	Total
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 526,366	\$ 511	\$ —	\$ 526,877
Purchases of receivable portfolios	242,857	—	—	242,857
Gross collections <sup>(1)</sup>	(447,702)	(55)	(7,490)	(455,247)
Put-backs and recalls	(2,907)	—	—	(2,907)
Revenue recognized <sup>(2)</sup>	278,308	—	7,043	285,351
(Portfolio allowances) portfolio allowance reversals, net	(16,768)	(456)	447	(16,777)
Balance, end of period	\$ 580,154	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 580,154
Revenue as a percentage of collections <sup>(3)</sup>	62.2%	0.0%	94.0%	62.7%

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009			
	Accrual Basis Portfolios	Cost Recovery Portfolios	Zero Basis Portfolios	Total
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 460,598	\$ 748	\$ —	\$ 461,346
Purchases of receivable portfolios	215,680	—	—	215,680
Gross collections <sup>(1)</sup>	(355,812)	(220)	(6,998)	(363,030)
Put-backs and recalls	(2,024)	—	(4)	(2,028)
Revenue recognized <sup>(2)</sup>	230,054	—	6,957	237,011
(Portfolio allowances) portfolio allowance reversals, net	(14,368)	—	45	(14,323)
Balance, end of period	\$ 534,128	\$ 528	\$ —	\$ 534,656
Revenue as a percentage of collections <sup>(3)</sup>	64.7%	0.0%	99.4%	65.3%

(1) Does not include amounts collected on behalf of others.

(2) Includes retained interest.

(3) Revenue as a percentage of collections excludes the effects of net portfolio allowances or net portfolio allowance reversals.

## Table of Contents

As of September 30, 2010, we had \$580.2 million in investment in receivable portfolios. This balance will be amortized based upon current projections of cash collections in excess of revenue applied to the principal balance. The estimated amortization of the investment in receivable portfolio balance is as follows (*in thousands*):

<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>	<u>Amortization</u>
2010 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 38,730
2011	187,114
2012	168,266
2013	94,517
2014	50,180
2015	25,276
2016	12,405
2017	3,666
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 580,154</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> 2010 amount consists of three months data from October 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010.

### Collections by Channel

We utilize numerous business channels for the collection of charged-off credit cards and other receivables. The following table summarizes the gross collections by collection channel (*in thousands*):

	<u>Three Months Ended September 30,</u>		<u>Nine Months Ended September 30,</u>	
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Collection sites	\$ 67,089	\$ 45,122	\$ 199,513	\$ 140,144
Legal collections	71,773	55,584	196,995	173,451
Collection agencies	18,065	19,705	57,777	42,878
Sales	—	5,299	—	6,843
Other	445	—	1,143	—
	<u>\$ 157,372</u>	<u>\$ 125,710</u>	<u>\$ 455,428</u>	<u>\$ 363,316</u>

### External Collection Channels and Related Direct Costs

The following tables summarize our external collection channel performance and related direct costs (*in thousands, except percentages*):

	<u>Legal Collections</u>				<u>Collection Agencies</u>			
	<u>Three Months Ended September 30,</u>				<u>Three Months Ended September 30,</u>			
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Collections	<u>\$71,773</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$55,584</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$18,065</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>\$19,705</u>	<u>100.0%</u>
Commissions	\$20,079	28.0%	\$15,824	28.5%	\$ 5,389	29.8%	\$ 5,795	29.4%
Court cost expense <sup>(1)</sup>	13,111	18.3%	9,678	17.4%	—	—	—	—
Other <sup>(2)</sup>	661	0.9%	590	1.0%	—	—	—	—
<b>Total Costs</b>	<u>\$33,851</u>	<u>47.2%</u>	<u>\$26,092</u>	<u>46.9%</u>	<u>\$ 5,389</u>	<u>29.8%</u>	<u>\$ 5,795</u>	<u>29.4%</u>

## Table of Contents

	Legal Collections				Collection Agencies			
	Nine Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2010		2009		2010		2009	
Collections	\$196,995	100.0%	\$173,451	100.0%	\$57,777	100.0%	\$42,878	100.0%
Commissions	\$ 56,576	28.7%	\$ 49,512	28.6%	\$17,098	29.6%	\$13,483	31.4%
Court cost expense <sup>(1)</sup>	33,068	16.8%	33,534	19.3%	—	—	—	—
Other <sup>(2)</sup>	1,875	1.0%	1,618	0.9%	—	—	—	—
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>\$ 91,519</b>	<b>46.5%</b>	<b>\$ 84,664</b>	<b>48.8%</b>	<b>\$17,098</b>	<b>29.6%</b>	<b>\$13,483</b>	<b>31.4%</b>

(1) In connection with our agreement with contracted attorneys, we advance certain out-of-pocket court costs. We capitalize these costs in our consolidated financial statements and provide a reserve and corresponding court cost expense for the costs that we believe will be ultimately uncollectible. This amount includes changes in our anticipated recovery rate of court costs expensed.

(2) Other costs consist primarily of costs related to counter claims and legal network subscription fees.

### Legal Outsourcing Collections and Related Costs

The following tables summarize our legal outsourcing collection channel performance and related direct costs (*in thousands, except percentages*):

Placement Year	Gross Collections by Year of Collection <sup>(1)</sup>								Total Collections
	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
2003	\$10,750	\$27,192	\$17,212	\$ 9,566	\$ 5,561	\$ 3,050	\$ 2,014	\$ 1,282	\$ 76,627
2004	—	\$23,455	\$37,674	\$21,676	\$12,029	\$ 5,840	\$ 3,665	\$ 2,258	\$106,597
2005	—	—	\$21,694	\$40,762	\$22,152	\$10,582	\$ 6,226	\$ 3,663	\$105,079
2006	—	—	—	\$39,395	\$82,740	\$43,303	\$ 22,527	\$11,207	\$199,172
2007	—	—	—	—	\$41,958	\$80,211	\$ 44,321	\$19,226	\$185,716
2008	—	—	—	—	—	\$47,320	\$110,868	\$52,693	\$210,881
2009	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$ 40,856	\$72,834	\$113,690
2010 YTD	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$32,514	\$ 32,514

(1) Includes collections for accounts placed in our legal channel beginning January 1, 2003. We continue to collect on accounts placed in this channel prior to that date.

Placement Year	Court Costs by Year of Collection <sup>(1)</sup>								Total Court Costs
	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
2003	\$908	\$2,046	\$ 571	\$ 300	\$ 147	\$ 103	\$ 86	\$ 54	\$ 4,215
2004	—	\$2,509	\$2,937	\$ 1,087	\$ 406	\$ 223	\$ 153	\$ 115	\$ 7,430
2005	—	—	\$3,271	\$ 4,426	\$ 859	\$ 356	\$ 218	\$ 185	\$ 9,315
2006	—	—	—	\$10,158	\$10,291	\$ 1,829	\$ 407	\$ 508	\$ 23,193
2007	—	—	—	—	\$15,357	\$11,952	\$ 1,178	\$ 562	\$ 29,049
2008	—	—	—	—	—	\$19,322	\$15,842	\$ 2,132	\$ 37,296
2009	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$17,009	\$11,145	\$ 28,154
2010 YTD	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$16,955	\$ 16,955

(1) Includes court cost expense for accounts placed in our legal channel beginning January 1, 2003. We continue to incur court cost expense on accounts placed in this channel prior to that date. Court cost expense in this table is calculated based on our blended court cost expense rate.

## Table of Contents

Placement Year	Commissions by Year of Collection <sup>(1)</sup>									Total Commissions
	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010		
2003	\$3,574	\$8,606	\$ 5,496	\$ 2,898	\$ 1,574	\$ 872	\$ 577	\$ 375	\$	\$ 23,972
2004	—	\$7,273	\$12,060	\$ 6,653	\$ 3,498	\$ 1,690	\$ 1,063	\$ 671	\$	\$ 32,908
2005	—	—	\$ 6,725	\$12,108	\$ 6,364	\$ 3,036	\$ 1,792	\$ 1,079	\$	\$ 31,104
2006	—	—	—	\$11,451	\$23,659	\$12,370	\$ 6,464	\$ 3,282	\$	\$ 57,226
2007	—	—	—	—	\$11,845	\$22,927	\$12,697	\$ 5,652	\$	\$ 53,121
2008	—	—	—	—	—	\$13,678	\$31,794	\$15,450	\$	\$ 60,922
2009	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$11,476	\$20,803	\$	\$ 32,279
2010 YTD	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$ 9,070	\$	\$ 9,070

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes commissions for accounts placed in our legal channel beginning January 1, 2003. We continue to incur commissions on collections for accounts placed in this channel prior to that date.

Placement Year	Court Cost Expense and Commissions as a % of Gross Collections by Year of Collection									Cumulative Average
	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010		
2003	41.7%	39.2%	35.2%	33.4%	31.0%	32.0%	32.9%	33.4%		36.8%
2004	—	41.7%	39.8%	35.7%	32.4%	32.8%	33.2%	34.8%		37.8%
2005	—	—	46.1%	40.6%	32.6%	32.1%	32.3%	34.5%		38.5%
2006	—	—	—	54.9%	41.0%	32.8%	30.5%	33.8%		40.4%
2007	—	—	—	—	64.8%	43.5%	31.3%	32.3%		44.2%
2008	—	—	—	—	—	69.7%	43.0%	33.4%		46.6%
2009	—	—	—	—	—	—	69.7%	43.9%		53.2%
2010 YTD	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	80.0%		80.0%

Placement Year <sup>(2)</sup>	Lawsuits Filed by Year <sup>(1)</sup>									Total
	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010		
2003	23	29	5	2	—	—	—	—	—	59
2004	—	59	39	11	2	—	—	—	—	111
2005	—	—	76	46	3	—	—	—	—	125
2006	—	—	—	205	105	4	—	—	—	314
2007	—	—	—	—	269	106	4	—	—	379
2008	—	—	—	—	—	338	136	3	—	477
2009	—	—	—	—	—	—	245	86	—	331
2010 YTD	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	253	—	253

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents the year the account was placed into litigation.

<sup>(2)</sup> Represents the year the account was placed into our legal channel.

### Headcount by Function by Site

The following table summarizes our headcount by function by site:

	Headcount as of September 30,			
	2010		2009	
	U.S.	India	U.S.	India
General & Administrative	367	232	325	129
Account Manager	212	892	238	488
Bankruptcy Specialist	70	75	68	47
	<u>649</u>	<u>1,199</u>	<u>631</u>	<u>664</u>

## Table of Contents

### **Gross Collections by Account Manager**

The following table summarizes our collection performance by Account Manager (*in thousands, except headcount*):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Gross collections – collection sites	\$67,089	\$45,122	\$199,512	\$140,144
Average active account managers	1,081	671	1,013	628
Collections per average active account manager	\$ 62.1	\$ 67.2	\$ 197.0	\$ 223.2

The decrease in collections per average active account manager is a result of our India expansion. Our India workforce continues to grow as part of our long-term strategy to maintain headcount at current levels in our domestic collection sites and focus our future growth in India. As we ramped up headcount in our new, larger India site and as we migrate more of our collections there, our overall collector productivity, as expected, has declined. Once we are fully ramped up and the new account managers gain experience, we expect productivity to move back towards previous levels.

### **Gross Collections per Hour Paid**

The following table summarizes our gross collections per hour paid to Account Managers (*in thousands, except gross collections per hour paid*):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Gross collections – collection sites	\$67,089	\$45,122	\$199,512	\$140,144
Total hours paid	504	317	1,441	875
Collections per hour paid	\$ 133.2	\$ 142.3	\$ 138.4	\$ 160.2

### **Collection Sites Direct Cost per Dollar Collected**

The following table summarizes our gross collections in collection sites and the related direct cost (*in thousands, except percentages*):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Gross collections – collection sites	\$67,089	\$45,122	\$199,512	\$140,144
Direct cost <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 6,210	\$ 5,670	\$ 18,578	\$ 17,150
Cost per dollar collected	9.3%	12.6%	9.3%	12.2%

<sup>(1)</sup> Represents salaries, variable compensation and employee benefits.

### **Salaries and Employee Benefits by Function**

The following table summarizes our salaries and employee benefits by function (excluding stock-based compensation) (*in thousands*):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Portfolio Purchasing and Collecting Activities				
Collections related	\$ 6,210	\$ 5,670	\$18,578	\$17,150
General & administrative	8,070	6,812	23,945	19,925
Subtotal	14,280	12,482	42,523	37,075
Bankruptcy Services	1,886	1,929	5,612	6,055
	<u>\$16,166</u>	<u>\$14,411</u>	<u>\$48,135</u>	<u>\$43,130</u>

## Table of Contents

### *Purchases by Quarter*

The following table summarizes the purchases we made by quarter, and the respective purchase prices (in thousands):

<b>Quarter</b>	<b># of Accounts</b>	<b>Face Value</b>	<b>Purchase Price</b>	<b>Forward Flow Allocation (1)</b>
Q1 2007	1,434	2,510,347	45,386	3,539
Q2 2007	1,042	1,341,148	41,137	2,949
Q3 2007	659	1,281,468	47,869	2,680
Q4 2007	1,204	1,768,111	74,561	2,536
Q1 2008	647	1,199,703	47,902	2,926
Q2 2008	676	1,801,902	52,492	2,635
Q3 2008	795	1,830,292	66,107	—
Q4 2008	1,084	1,729,568	63,777	—
Q1 2009	505	1,341,660	55,913	—
Q2 2009	719	1,944,158	82,033	—
Q3 2009	1,515	2,173,562	77,734	10,302
Q4 2009	519	1,017,998	40,952	—
Q1 2010	839	2,112,332	81,632	—
Q2 2010	1,002	2,245,713	83,336	—
Q3 2010	1,101	2,616,678	77,889	—

(1) Allocation of the forward flow asset to the cost basis of receivable portfolio purchases. In July 2008, we ceased forward flow purchases from Jefferson Capital due to an alleged breach by Jefferson Capital and its parent, CompuCredit Corporation, of certain agreements. In September 2009, we settled our dispute with Jefferson Capital. As part of the settlement, we purchased a receivable portfolio and applied the remaining forward flow asset to that purchase.

## **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

### *Overview*

Historically, we have met our cash requirements by utilizing our cash flows from operations, bank borrowings and equity offerings. Our primary cash requirements have included the purchase of receivable portfolios, operational expenses, and the payment of interest and principal on bank borrowings and tax payments.

The following table summarizes our cash flows by category for the periods presented (*in thousands*):

	<b>Nine Months Ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 50,746	\$ 55,880
Net cash used in investing activities	\$(71,777)	(80,957)
Net cash provided by financing activities	\$ 24,174	21,676

On February 8, 2010, we entered into a new \$327.5 million, revolving credit facility (“2010 Revolving Credit Facility”). On July 15, 2010, under an accordion feature, we obtained an additional \$33.0 million in commitments increasing our revolving credit facility to \$360.5 million. On September 20 and 21, 2010 we amended our revolving credit facility to reset the accordion feature to \$100 million (not to exceed a total facility of \$460.5 million) and extended the maturity date to December 31, 2013. See Note 9 to our consolidated financial statements for a further discussion on our debt and our 2010 Revolving Credit Facility.

Currently, all of our portfolio purchases are funded with cash from operations and borrowings from third parties under our 2010 Revolving Credit Facility.

On September 20, 2010, we issued \$50.0 million in senior secured notes to certain affiliates of Prudential Capital Group. The notes bear an annual interest rate of 7.75% and mature in 2017 with principal amortization beginning December 2012. The proceeds from the notes were used to reduce aggregate outstanding borrowings under the Company’s 2010 Revolving Credit Facility, including borrowings incurred to repay the remaining \$42.9 million of 3.375% Convertible Senior Notes that matured September 20, 2010.



---

## Table of Contents

On February 8, 2010, our board of directors approved a new \$50.0 million securities repurchase program to replace the remaining available repurchase authority allowed under our prior program. Under the 2010 Revolving Credit Facility, we have the ability to repurchase up to \$50.0 million in any combination of our common stock and Convertible Notes, subject to compliance with certain covenants and available borrowing capacity. The board's approval authorizes us to repurchase an aggregate of up to \$50.0 million of any combination of our common stock and Convertible Notes. As discussed above, our Convertible Notes matured on September 20, 2010. Accordingly, subject to compliance with the 2010 Revolving Credit Facility, we are currently authorized to repurchase up to \$50.0 million of our common stock. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010 we did not repurchase any common stock or Convertible Notes under this program.

### ***Operating Cash Flows***

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$50.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to \$55.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

Cash provided by operating activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, is primarily related to net income of \$34.9 million and \$16.8 million in a non-cash add back related to the net provision for allowance on our receivable portfolios. Cash provided by operating activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, was primarily attributable to net income of \$24.6 million, \$14.3 million in a non-cash add back related to the net provision for allowance on our receivable portfolios and a decrease in prepaid income tax of \$11.1 million.

### ***Investing Cash Flows***

Net cash used in investing activities was \$71.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and \$81.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

The cash flows used in investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, are primarily related to receivable portfolio purchases of \$242.9 million, offset by gross collection proceeds applied to the principal of our receivable portfolios in the amount of \$169.9 million. The cash flows used in investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, are primarily related to receivable portfolio purchases of \$205.4 million, offset by gross collection proceeds applied to the principal of our receivable portfolios in the amount of \$126.0 million.

Capital expenditures for fixed assets, acquired with internal cash flow, were \$1.7 million for nine months ended September 30, 2010 and \$3.6 million for nine months ended September 30, 2009.

### ***Financing Cash Flows***

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$24.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and \$21.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

The cash provided by financing activities during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, reflects \$111.6 million in borrowings under our line of credit agreement and \$50.0 million in proceeds from the issuance of our senior secured notes, offset by \$92.1 million in repayments of amounts outstanding under our line of credit and \$42.9 million in repayments of the remaining balance of our Convertible Notes that matured on September 20, 2010. Cash provided by financing activities during the nine months ended September 30, 2009, reflects \$85.5 million in borrowings under our line of credit agreement, offset by \$41.5 million in repayments of amounts under our line of credit and \$22.3 million used to repurchase \$28.5 million in principal amount of our outstanding Convertible Notes.

We are in compliance with all covenants under our financing arrangements and, excluding the effects of the one-time payment of \$16.9 million to eliminate all future Contingent Interest payments in the second quarter of 2007 (this payment, less amounts accrued on our balance sheet, resulted in a charge in our statement of operations of \$6.9 million after the effect of income taxes) and the effects of the adoption of a new accounting principal related to our Convertible Notes, we have achieved 35 consecutive quarters of positive net income. We believe that we have sufficient liquidity to fund our operations for at least the next twelve months, given our expectation of continued positive cash flows from operations, our cash and cash equivalents of \$11.5 million as of September 30, 2010, our access to the capital markets and availability under our 2010 Revolving Credit Facility, which expires in December 2013.

---

## Table of Contents

### *Off Balance Sheet Arrangements*

We do not have any off balance sheet arrangements as defined by Item 303(a)(4) of Regulation S-K.

### **Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk**

For quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk affecting Encore, see Item 7A, “Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk,” of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, which is incorporated herein by reference. Our exposure to market risk has not changed materially since December 31, 2009.

### **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

#### *Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures*

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our periodic reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, our management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives and management accordingly is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we conducted an evaluation, with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to the Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(b). Based upon this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2010. The conclusions of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer from this evaluation were communicated to the Audit Committee. We intend to continue to review and document our disclosure controls and procedures, including our internal controls and procedures for financial reporting, and may from time to time make changes aimed at enhancing their effectiveness and to ensure that our systems evolve with our business.

#### *Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting*

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## **PART II—OTHER INFORMATION**

### **Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

We are involved in disputes and legal actions from time to time in the ordinary course of our business. There have been no material developments in legal proceedings during the quarter ended September 30, 2010. For a description of previously reported legal proceedings refer to Part I, Item 3, “Legal Proceedings,” of our 2009 Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, and Part II, Item 1 of our Forms 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010.

### **Item 1A—Risk Factors**

This section highlights some specific risks affecting our business, operating results and financial condition. The list of risks is not intended to be exhaustive and the order in which the risks appear is not intended as an indication of their relative weight or importance.

---

## Table of Contents

### **Risk Factors**

***Recent instability in the financial markets and global economy may affect our access to capital, our ability to purchase accounts, and the success of our collection efforts.***

The residential real estate market in the U.S. has experienced a significant downturn due to declining real estate values, substantially reducing mortgage loan originations and securitizations and precipitating more generalized credit market dislocations and a significant contraction in available liquidity globally. Financial markets in the United States, Europe and Asia have experienced extreme disruption, including, among other things, volatility in security prices, rating downgrades of certain investments and declining valuations of others. These factors, combined with fluctuating oil prices, declining business and consumer confidence and increased unemployment, have led to an economic recession. Individual consumers are experiencing higher delinquency rates on various consumer loans and defaults on indebtedness of all kinds have increased. These developments, as well as further declines in real estate values in the U.S. or elsewhere and continuing credit and liquidity concerns, could reduce our ability to collect on our purchased consumer receivable portfolios further and would adversely affect their value. In addition, continued or further credit market dislocations or sustained market downturns may reduce the ability of lenders to originate new credit, limiting our ability to purchase consumer receivable portfolios in the future. Further, increased financial pressure on the distressed consumer may result in additional regulatory restrictions on our operations and increased litigation filed against us. We are unable to predict the likely duration or severity of the current disruption in financial markets and adverse economic conditions and the effects they may have on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

***Our quarterly operating results may fluctuate due to a variety of factors.***

Our quarterly operating results will likely vary in the future due to a variety of factors that could affect our revenues and operating expenses in any particular quarter. We expect that our operating expenses as a percentage of collections will fluctuate in the future as we expand into new markets, increase our new business development efforts, hire additional personnel and incur increased insurance and regulatory compliance costs. In addition, our operating results have fluctuated and may continue to fluctuate as the result of the factors described below and elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q:

- the timing and amount of collections on our receivable portfolios, including the effects of seasonality and economic recession;
- any charge to earnings resulting from an allowance against the carrying value of our receivable portfolios;
- increases in operating expenses associated with the growth or change of our operations;
- the cost of credit to finance our purchases of receivable portfolios; and
- the timing and terms of our purchases of receivable portfolios.

Due to fluctuating prices for consumer receivable portfolios, there has been considerable variation in our purchasing volume from quarter to quarter and we expect that to continue. The volume of our portfolio purchases will be limited while prices are high, and may or may not increase when portfolio pricing is more favorable to us. We believe our ability to collect on consumer receivable portfolios may be negatively impacted because of current economic conditions, and this may require us to increase our projected return hurdles in calculating prices we are willing to pay for individual portfolios. An increase in portfolio return hurdles may decrease the volume of portfolios we are successful in purchasing. Because we recognize revenue on the basis of projected collections on purchased portfolios, we may experience variations in quarterly revenue and earnings due to the timing of portfolio purchases.

Accordingly, results for any one quarter are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for any other quarter or for any year, and revenues and earnings for any particular future period may decrease.

***Fluctuations in our operating results may lead to decreases in the trading prices of our common stock and convertible notes.***

In the future, if operating results fall below the expectations of securities analysts and investors, the price of our common stock and convertible notes likely would decrease. In addition, uncertainty about current global economic conditions have impacted and could continue to increase the volatility of our stock price.

---

## Table of Contents

### ***We may not be able to purchase receivables at sufficiently favorable prices or terms, or at all.***

Our ability to continue to operate profitably depends upon the continued availability of receivable portfolios that meet our purchasing standards and are cost-effective based upon projected collections exceeding our costs. Our profitability is also affected by our actual collections on accounts meeting or exceeding our projected collections. The market for acquiring receivable portfolios is competitive. Our industry has historically attracted a large amount of investment capital. With this inflow of capital, we saw an increase in the pricing of receivable portfolios to levels that we believed may generate reduced returns on investment. While more recently, the downturn in the economy and contraction of available capital have somewhat lessened competition for these receivable portfolios and reduced prices, there is no assurance as to how long these current economic conditions and competitive climate will continue or that portfolios will be available for purchase on terms acceptable to us or that we will collect a sufficient amount to make the portfolio collections cost-effective.

In addition to the competitive factors discussed above, the availability of consumer receivable portfolios at favorable prices and on favorable terms depends on a number of factors, within and outside of our control, including:

- the continuation of the current growth and charge-off trends in consumer debt;
- the continued sale of receivable portfolios by originating institutions at prevailing price levels;
- our ability to develop and maintain long-term relationships with key major credit originators;
- our ability to obtain adequate data from credit originators or portfolio resellers to appropriately evaluate the collectability of, and estimate the value of, portfolios;
- changes in laws and regulations governing consumer lending, bankruptcy and collections; and
- the potential availability of government funding to competing purchasers for the acquisition of account portfolios under various programs intended to serve as an economic stimulus.

In addition, because of the length of time involved in collecting charged-off consumer receivables on acquired portfolios and the volatility in the timing of our collections, we may not be able to identify trends and make changes in our purchasing strategies in a timely manner. Ultimately, if we are unable to continually purchase and collect on a sufficient volume of receivables to generate cash collections that exceed our costs, our business will be materially and adversely affected.

### ***We may not be successful in acquiring and collecting on portfolios consisting of new types of receivables.***

We may pursue the acquisition of portfolios consisting of assets with which we have little collection experience. We may not be successful in completing any of these acquisitions. If we do purchase such assets, our lack of experience with new types of receivables may cause us to pay too much for these receivable portfolios, which may substantially hinder our ability to generate profits from such portfolios. Even if we successfully acquire such new types of receivables, our existing methods of collections may prove ineffective for such new receivables and our inexperience may have a material and adverse affect on our results of operations.

### ***We may purchase receivable portfolios that contain unprofitable accounts and we may not be able to collect sufficient amounts to recover our costs and to fund our operations.***

We acquire and service receivables that the obligors have failed to pay and the sellers have deemed uncollectible and written off. The originating institutions generally make numerous attempts to recover on their nonperforming receivables, often using a combination of their in-house collection and legal departments as well as third-party collection agencies. In order to operate profitably over the long term, we must continually purchase and collect on a sufficient volume of receivables to generate revenue that exceeds our costs. These receivables are difficult to collect, and we may not be successful in collecting amounts sufficient to cover the costs associated with purchasing the receivables and funding our operations. If we are not able to collect on these receivables or collect sufficient amounts to cover our costs, this may materially and adversely affect our results of operations.

### ***We may purchase portfolios that contain accounts which do not meet our account collection criteria.***

In the normal course of our portfolio acquisitions, some receivables may be included in the portfolios that fail to conform to the terms of the purchase agreements and we may seek to return these receivables to the seller for payment or replacement. However, we cannot guarantee that such sellers will be able to meet their obligations to us. Accounts that we are unable to return to sellers may yield no return. If we purchase portfolios containing too many accounts that do not conform to the terms of the purchase contracts or contain accounts that are otherwise uncollectible, we may be unable to collect a sufficient amount and the portfolio purchase could be unprofitable, which would have an adverse effect on our cash flows. If cash flows from operations are less than anticipated, our ability to satisfy our debt obligations, purchase new portfolios and our future growth and profitability may be materially and adversely affected.

---

## Table of Contents

### ***We may not be able to use our sales channel to sell unprofitable accounts.***

Due to current economic conditions, portfolio pricing in the resale market is currently lower than historical levels. While we have in the past periodically sold certain accounts in a portfolio when we believed the current market price exceeded our estimate of the net present value of the estimated remaining collections or determined that additional recovery efforts are not warranted, we may not be able to do so if resale pricing is unfavorable or if the number of resale transactions is limited. The inability to resell unprofitable accounts may reduce our portfolio returns.

### ***The statistical models we use to project remaining cash flows from our receivable portfolios may prove to be inaccurate, which could result in reduced revenues or the recording of an allowance charge if we do not achieve the collections forecasted by our models.***

We use our internally developed Unified Collection Score, or UCS model, and Behavioral Liquidation Score, or BLS model, to project the remaining cash flows from our receivable portfolios. Our UCS and BLS models consider known data about our consumers' accounts, including, among other things, our collection experience and changes in external consumer factors, in addition to all data known when we acquired the accounts. There can be no assurance, however, that we will be able to achieve the collections forecasted by our UCS and BLS models. If we are not able to achieve these levels of forecasted collection, our revenues will be reduced or we may be required to record an allowance charge, which may materially and adversely impact our results of operations.

### ***We may not be successful in recovering the level of court costs we anticipate recovering.***

We contract with a nationwide network of attorneys that specialize in collection matters. We generally refer charged-off accounts to our contracted attorneys when we believe the related debtor has sufficient assets to repay the indebtedness but has, to date, been unwilling to pay. In connection with our agreements with our contracted attorneys, we advance certain out-of-pocket court costs, or Deferred Court Costs. Deferred Court Costs represent amounts we believe we will recover from our consumers. Deferred Court Costs are in addition to the amounts owed on our consumers' accounts that we expect to collect. These court costs may be difficult or impossible to collect, and we may not be successful in collecting amounts sufficient to cover the amounts deferred in our financial statements. Further, our network of attorneys may not utilize all, or a portion, of the amounts we advanced for the payment of court costs in the manner for which they were intended. If we are not able to recover these court costs, this may materially and adversely affect our results of operations.

### ***Our industry is highly competitive, and we may be unable to continue to compete successfully with businesses that may have greater resources than we have.***

We face competition from a wide range of collection and financial services companies that may have substantially greater financial, personnel and other resources, greater adaptability to changing market needs and more established relationships in our industry than we currently have. We also compete with traditional contingency collection agencies and in-house recovery departments. Competitive pressures adversely affect the availability and pricing of charged-off receivable portfolios, as well as the availability and cost of qualified recovery personnel. Because there are few significant barriers to entry for new purchasers of charged-off receivable portfolios, there is a risk that additional competitors with greater resources than ours, including competitors that have historically focused on the acquisition of different asset types, will enter our market. If we are unable to develop and expand our business or adapt to changing market needs as well as our current or future competitors, we may experience reduced access to charged-off receivable portfolios at acceptable prices, which could reduce our profitability.

Moreover, we may not be able to offer competitive bids for charged-off receivable portfolios. We face bidding competition in our acquisition of charged-off receivable portfolios. In our industry, successful bids generally are awarded on a combination of price, service and relationships with the debt sellers. Some of our current and future competitors may have more effective pricing and collection models, greater adaptability to changing market needs and more established relationships in our industry. They also may pay prices for portfolios that we determine are not reasonable. We may not be able to offer competitive bids for charged-off consumer receivable portfolios. In addition, there continues to be consolidation of issuers of credit cards, which have been a principal source of receivable purchases. This consolidation has limited the number of sellers in the market and has correspondingly given the remaining sellers increasing market strength in the price and terms of the sale of credit card accounts.

In addition, we believe that issuers of credit cards are increasingly using outsourced, off-shore alternatives in connection with their collection of delinquent accounts in an effort to reduce costs. If these off-shore efforts are successful, these issuers may decrease the number of portfolios they offer for sale and increase the purchase price for portfolios they offer for sale.

---

## Table of Contents

### ***Our failure to purchase sufficient quantities of receivable portfolios may necessitate workforce reductions, which may harm our business.***

Because fixed costs, such as certain personnel costs and lease or other facilities costs, constitute a significant portion of our overhead, we may be required to reduce the number of employees in our collection operations if we do not continually augment the receivable portfolios we service with additional receivable portfolios or collect sufficient amounts on receivables we own. Reducing the number of employees can affect our business adversely and lead to:

- lower employee morale, higher employee attrition rates, fewer experienced employees and higher recruiting and training costs;
- disruptions in our operations and loss of efficiency in collection functions; and
- excess costs associated with unused space in collection facilities.

### ***A significant portion of our portfolio purchases during any period may be concentrated with a small number of sellers.***

We expect that a significant percentage of our portfolio purchases for any given fiscal year may be concentrated with a few large sellers, some of which also may involve forward flow arrangements. We cannot be certain that any of our significant sellers will continue to sell charged-off receivables to us on terms or in quantities acceptable to us, or that we would be able to replace such purchases with purchases from other sellers.

A significant decrease in the volume of purchases from any of our principal sellers would force us to seek alternative sources of charged-off receivables. We may be unable to find alternative sources from which to purchase charged-off receivables, and even if we could successfully replace such purchases, the search could take time, the receivables could be of lower quality, cost more, or both, any of which could materially adversely affect our financial performance.

### ***We may be unable to meet our future short- or long-term liquidity requirements.***

We depend on both internal and external sources of financing to fund our purchases of receivable portfolios and our operations. Our need for additional financing and capital resources increases dramatically as our business grows. Our inability to obtain financing and capital as needed or on terms acceptable to us would limit our ability to acquire additional receivable portfolios and to operate our business.

### ***Volatility in U.S. credit markets could affect our ability to refinance and/or retire existing debt, obtain financing to fund acquisitions, investments, or other significant operating or capital expenditures.***

At the end of September 30, 2010, we had approximately \$50.0 million principal amount of outstanding 7.75% Senior Secured Notes due September 17, 2017, and a balance on our revolving credit facility of \$279.5 million. On September 20, 2010, we obtained increases in commitments from lenders increasing our revolving credit facility to approximately \$460.5 million. A tightening of credit availability could restrict our ability to refinance the principal amount of the Senior Notes other than through the use of our revolving credit facility, and could restrict our ability to further exercise the remaining accordion feature of our revolving credit facility.

### ***We may not be able to continue to satisfy the restrictive covenants in our debt agreements.***

All of our receivable portfolios are pledged to secure amounts owed to our lenders. Our debt agreements impose a number of restrictive covenants on how we operate our business. Failure to satisfy any one of these covenants could result in all or any of the following consequences, each of which could have a materially adverse effect on our ability to conduct business:

- acceleration of outstanding indebtedness;
- our inability to continue to purchase receivables needed to operate our business; or
- our inability to secure alternative financing on favorable terms, if at all.

### ***We use estimates in our revenue recognition and our earnings will be reduced if actual results are less than estimated.***

We utilize the interest method to determine revenue recognized on substantially all of our receivable portfolios. Under this method, each pool of receivables is modeled based upon its projected cash flows. A yield is then established which, when applied to the outstanding balance of the pool of receivables, results in the recognition of revenue at a constant yield relative to the remaining balance in the pool. The actual amount recovered by us may substantially differ from our projections and may be lower than initially projected. If the differences are material, we may be required to take an allowance charge on a portion of our investment, which would negatively affect our earnings.

---

## Table of Contents

### ***We may incur allowance charges based on the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Subtopic 310-30.***

We account for our portfolio revenue in accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board's Accounting Standards Codification Subtopic 310-30 "Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality," or ASC 310-30. ASC 310-30 limits the revenue that may be accrued to the excess of the estimate of expected future cash flows over a portfolio's initial cost of accounts receivable acquired, requires that the excess of the contractual cash flows over expected cash flows not be recognized as an adjustment of revenue, expense, or on the balance sheet, and freezes the internal rate of return, or IRR originally estimated when the accounts receivable are purchased for subsequent allowance testing. Rather than lower the estimated IRR if the expected future cash flow estimates are decreased, the carrying value of our receivable portfolios would be written down to maintain the then-current IRR. Increases in expected future cash flows would be recognized prospectively through an upward adjustment of the IRR over a portfolio's remaining life. Any increased yield then becomes the new benchmark for allowance testing. Since ASC 310-30 does not permit yields to be lowered, there is an increased probability of our having to incur allowance charges in the future, which would negatively impact our profitability.

### ***If our goodwill or amortizable intangible assets become impaired we may be required to record a significant charge to earnings.***

We carry approximately \$16.0 million in goodwill and approximately \$1.0 million in amortizable intangible assets on our balance sheet. Under generally accepted accounting principles, we review our goodwill for potential impairment at least annually, and review our amortizable intangible assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable. Factors that may indicate that the carrying value of our goodwill or amortizable intangible assets may not be recoverable include adverse changes in estimated future cash flows, growth rates and discount rates. We may be required to record a significant charge in our financial statements during the period in which any impairment of our goodwill or amortizable intangible assets is determined, negatively impacting our results of operations.

### ***Negative news regarding the debt collection industry and individual debt collectors may have a negative impact on a debtor's willingness to pay the debt we acquire.***

Consumers are exposed to information from a number of sources that may cause them to be more reluctant to pay their debts or to pursue legal actions against us. Print and other media publish stories about the debt collection industry which cite specific examples of abusive collection practices. These stories are also published on websites, which can lead to the rapid dissemination of the story, adding to the level of exposure to negative publicity about our industry. Various internet sites are maintained where consumers can list their concerns about the activities of debt collectors and seek guidance from other website posters on how to handle the situation. Advertisements by debt relief attorneys and credit counseling centers are becoming more common, adding to the negative attention given to our industry. As a result of this negative publicity, debtors may be more reluctant to pay their debts or could pursue legal action against us regardless of whether those actions are warranted. These actions could impact our ability to collect on the receivables we acquire and affect our revenues and profitability.

### ***Our business of enforcing the collection of purchased receivables is subject to extensive statutory and regulatory oversight.***

Some laws and regulations applicable to credit card issuers or other debt originators may preclude us from collecting on receivables we purchase where the card issuer or originator failed to comply with applicable federal or state laws in generating or servicing the receivables that we have acquired. Because our receivables generally are originated and serviced nationwide, we cannot be certain that the originating lenders have complied with applicable laws and regulations. While our receivable acquisition contracts typically contain provisions indemnifying us for losses owing to the originating institution's failure to comply with applicable laws and other events, we cannot be certain that any indemnities received from originating institutions will be adequate to protect us from losses on the receivables or liabilities to consumers.

We sometimes purchase accounts in asset classes that are subject to industry-specific restrictions that limit the collections methods that we can use on those accounts. Our inability to collect sufficient amounts from these accounts through available collections methods could materially and adversely affect our results of operations.

### ***Present and future government regulation, legislation or enforcement actions may limit our ability to recover and enforce the collection of receivables.***

Federal and state laws and regulations may limit our ability to recover and enforce the collection of receivables regardless of any act or omission on our part. Laws relating to debt collections also directly apply to our business. Our failure or the failure of third party agencies and attorneys or the originators of our receivables to comply with existing or new laws, rules or regulations could limit our ability to recover on receivables or cause us to pay damages to the original debtors, which could reduce our revenues and harm our business.

---

## Table of Contents

Additional consumer protection or privacy laws and regulations may be enacted that impose additional restrictions on the collection of receivables. Such new laws may materially adversely affect our ability to collect on our receivables, which could materially and adversely affect our earnings.

***Failure to comply with government regulation could result in the suspension or termination of our ability to conduct business, may require the payment of significant fines and penalties, or require other significant expenditures.***

The collections industry is regulated under various federal and state laws and regulations. Many states and several cities require that we be licensed as a debt collection company. The Federal Trade Commission, state Attorneys General and other regulatory bodies have the authority to investigate consumer complaints against debt collection companies and to recommend enforcement actions and seek monetary penalties. If we or our third party collection agencies or law firms fail to comply with applicable laws and regulations, it could result in the suspension or termination of our ability to conduct collection operations, which would materially adversely affect us. In addition, new federal, state or local laws or regulations, or changes in the ways these rules or laws are interpreted or enforced, could limit our activities in the future or significantly increase the cost of regulatory compliance.

***We are dependent upon third parties to service a substantial portion of our consumer receivable portfolios.***

Although we utilize our in-house collection staff to collect a substantial portion of our receivables, we also use outside collection services. Further, we are increasing our collection activity through the legal channel, whereby third-party law firms initiate legal actions on our behalf to collect accounts. As a result, we are dependent upon the efforts of those third-party collection agencies and attorneys to service and collect our consumer receivables. Any failure by our third-party collection agencies and attorneys to perform collection services for us adequately or remit such collections to us could materially reduce our revenue and our profitability. In addition, if one or more of those third-party collection agencies or attorneys were to cease operations abruptly, or to become insolvent, such cessation or insolvency could materially reduce our revenue and profitability. Our revenue and profitability could also be materially adversely affected if we are not able to secure replacement third party collection agencies or attorneys and transfer account information to our new third party collection agencies or attorneys promptly in the event our agreements with our third-party collection agencies and attorneys are terminated, our third-party collection agencies or attorneys fail to perform their obligations adequately, or if our relationships with such third-party collection agencies and attorneys otherwise change adversely.

***A significant portion of our collections relies upon our success in individual lawsuits brought against consumers and our ability to collect on judgments in our favor.***

We generate a significant portion of our revenue by collecting on judgments that are granted by courts in lawsuits filed against debtors. A decrease in the willingness of courts to grant such judgments, a change in the requirements for filing such cases or obtaining such judgments, or a decrease in our ability to collect on such judgments could have a material and adverse effect on our results of operations. As we increase our use of the legal channel for collections, our short-term margins may decrease as a result of an increase in upfront court costs and costs related to counter claims. We may not be able to collect on certain aged accounts because of applicable statutes of limitations and we may be subject to adverse effects of regulatory changes that we cannot predict. Further, courts in certain jurisdictions require that a copy of the account statements or applications be attached to the pleadings in order to obtain a judgment against the account debtors. If we are unable to produce account documents, these courts will deny our claims. Additionally, our ability to collect non-judicially may be impacted by state laws which require that certain types of account documentation be in our possession prior to the institution of any collection activities.

***Increases in costs associated with our collections through a network of attorneys can materially raise our costs associated with our collection strategies and the individual lawsuits brought against consumers to collect on judgments in our favor.***

We generally outsource those accounts where it appears the consumer is able, but unwilling to pay. We utilize lawyers that specialize in collection matters, paying them a contingency fee on amounts collected. In connection with our agreement with the contracted attorneys, we advance certain out-of-pocket court costs and capitalize those costs in our consolidated financial statements. We are increasing the portion of our collection activity through the legal channel, and as a consequence, due to an increase in upfront court costs associated with our pursuit of legal collections, and an increase in costs related to counterclaims, our costs in collecting on these accounts can increase, which can have a material and adverse affect on our results of operations. We also rely on our network of attorneys to interact with consumers in accordance with state and federal law, to appropriately handle funds advanced by us in connection with collections activities, and to appropriately apply and account for funds remitted by consumers. In the event that one or more of our attorneys fails to apply funds as intended, fails to observe applicable laws, or otherwise fails in their duties, this may also materially and adversely affect our results of operations.



---

## **Table of Contents**

***We are subject to ongoing risks of litigation, including individual and class actions under consumer credit, collections, employment, securities and other laws, and may be subject to awards of substantial damages.***

We operate in an extremely litigious climate and currently are, and may in the future, be named as defendants in litigation, including individual and class actions under consumer credit, collections, employment, securities and other laws.

In the past, securities class-action litigation has often been filed against a company after a period of volatility in the market price of its stock. Our industry experiences a high volume of litigation, and legal precedents have not been clearly established in many areas applicable to our business. Additionally, employment-related litigation is increasing throughout the country. Defending a lawsuit, regardless of its merit, could be costly and divert management's attention from the operation of our business. Damage awards or settlements could be significant. The use of certain collection strategies could be restricted if class-action plaintiffs were to prevail in their claims. All of these factors could have an adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

***We may make acquisitions that prove unsuccessful or strain or divert our resources.***

From time to time, we consider acquisitions of other companies that could complement our business, including the acquisition of entities in diverse geographic regions and entities offering greater access to businesses and markets that we do not currently serve. For instance, during 2005 we acquired Ascension Capital Group and certain assets of Jefferson Capital. We may not be able to successfully acquire other businesses or, if we do, the acquisition may be unprofitable. In addition, we may not successfully operate the businesses acquired, or may not successfully integrate such businesses with our own, which may result in our inability to maintain our goals, objectives, standards, controls, policies or culture. In addition, through acquisitions, we may enter markets in which we have limited or no experience. The occurrence of one or more of these events may place additional constraints on our resources such as diverting the attention of our management from other business concerns, which can materially adversely affect our operations and financial condition. Moreover, any acquisition may result in a potentially dilutive issuance of equity securities, incurrence of additional debt and amortization of identifiable intangible assets, all of which could reduce our profitability.

***We are dependent on our management team for the adoption and implementation of our strategies and the loss of their services could have a material adverse effect on our business.***

Our management team has considerable experience in finance, banking, consumer collections and other industries. We believe that the expertise of our executives obtained by managing businesses across numerous other industries has been critical to the enhancement of our operations. Our management team has created a culture of new ideas and progressive thinking, coupled with increased use of technology and statistical analysis. The loss of the services of one or more of our key executive officers could disrupt our operations and seriously impair our ability to continue to acquire or collect on portfolios of charged-off consumer receivables and to manage and expand our business. Our success depends on the continued service and performance of our management team, and we cannot guarantee that we will be able to retain such individuals.

***We may not be able to hire and retain enough sufficiently trained employees to support our operations, and/or we may experience high rates of personnel turnover.***

Our industry is very labor-intensive, and companies in our industry typically experience a high rate of employee turnover. We generally compete for qualified collections personnel with companies in our business and in the collection agency, teleservices and telemarketing industries and we compete for qualified non-collections personnel with companies in many industries. We will not be able to service our receivables effectively, continue our growth or operate profitably if we cannot hire and retain qualified collection personnel. Further, high turnover rates among our employees increases our recruiting and training costs and may limit the number of experienced collection personnel available to service our receivables. Our newer employees tend to be less productive and generally produce the greatest rate of personnel turnover. If the turnover rate among our employees increases, we will have fewer experienced employees available to service our receivables, which could reduce collections and therefore materially and adversely impact our results of operations.

---

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Exposure to regulatory, political and economic conditions in India exposes us to risks or loss of business.***

A significant element of our business strategy is to continue to develop and expand offshore operations in India. While wage costs in India are significantly lower than in the U.S. and other industrialized countries for comparably skilled workers, wages in India are increasing at a faster rate than in the U.S., and we experience higher employee turnover in our India site than is typical in our U.S. locations. The continuation of these trends could result in the loss of the cost savings we sought to achieve by moving a portion of our collection operations to India. In the past, India has experienced significant inflation and shortages of readily available foreign currency exchange, and has been subject to civil unrest. We may be adversely affected by changes in inflation, exchange rate fluctuations, interest rates, tax provisions, social stability or other political, economic or diplomatic developments in or affecting India in the future. In addition, the infrastructure of the Indian economy is relatively poor. Further, the Indian government is significantly involved in and exerts considerable influence over its economy through its complicated tax code and pervasive bureaucracy. In the recent past, the Indian government has provided significant tax incentives and relaxed certain regulatory restrictions in order to encourage foreign investment in certain sectors of the economy, including the technology industry. Changes in the business or regulatory climate of India could have a material and adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

India has also experienced persistent though declining mass poverty, civil unrest and terrorism and has been involved in conflicts with neighboring countries. In recent years, there have been military confrontations between India and Pakistan that have occurred in the region of Kashmir and along the Indian-Pakistan border. The potential for hostilities between the two countries has been high in light of tensions related to recent terrorist incidents in India and the unsettled nature of the regional geopolitical environment, including events in and related to Afghanistan and Iraq. Additionally, India's recent nuclear activity could expose it to increased political scrutiny, exclusion, or sanctions. Changes in the political stability of India could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

### ***We may not be able to manage our growth effectively, including the expansion of our operations in India.***

We have expanded significantly in recent years. However, future growth will place additional demands on our resources, and we cannot be sure that we will be able to manage our growth effectively. Continued growth could place a strain on our management, operations and financial resources. We cannot be certain that our infrastructure, facilities and personnel will be adequate to support our future operations or to effectively adapt to future growth. We also cannot be certain that the additional investments that we are making in infrastructure, facilities and personnel to support our growth and improve our operations will be successful or that our investments will produce profitable results. If we cannot manage our growth effectively, our results of operations may be materially and adversely affected.

### ***The failure of our technology and telecommunications systems could have an adverse effect on our operations.***

Our success depends in large part on sophisticated computer and telecommunications systems. The temporary or permanent loss of our computer and telecommunications equipment and software systems, through casualty, operating malfunction, software virus, or service provider failure, could disrupt our operations. In the normal course of our business, we must record and process significant amounts of data quickly and accurately to properly bid on prospective acquisitions of receivable portfolios and to access, maintain and expand the databases we use for our collection activities. Any simultaneous failure of our information systems and their backup systems would interrupt our business operations.

Our business depends heavily on services provided by various local and long-distance telephone companies. A significant increase in telephone service costs or any significant interruption in telephone services could negatively affect our operating results or disrupt our operations.

### ***We may not be able successfully to anticipate, invest in or adopt technological advances within our industry.***

Our business relies on computer and telecommunications technologies, and our ability to integrate new technologies into our business is essential to our competitive position and our success. We may not be successful in anticipating, managing, or adopting technological changes in a timely basis. Computer and telecommunications technologies are evolving rapidly and are characterized by short product life cycles.

We are making significant modifications to our information systems to ensure that they continue to meet our current and foreseeable demands and continued expansion, and our future growth may require additional investment in these systems. These system modifications may exceed our cost or time estimates for completion or may be unsuccessful. If we cannot update our information systems effectively, our results of operations may be materially and adversely affected.

---

## Table of Contents

We depend on having the capital resources necessary to invest in new technologies to acquire and service receivables. We cannot be certain that adequate capital resources will be available to us.

***We may not be able adequately to protect the intellectual property rights upon which we rely.***

We rely on proprietary software programs and valuation and collection processes and techniques, and we believe that these assets provide us with a competitive advantage. We consider our proprietary software, processes and techniques to be trade secrets, but they are not protected by patent or registered copyright. We may not be able to protect our technology and data resources adequately, which may materially diminish our competitive advantage.

***Our results of operations may be materially adversely affected if bankruptcy filings increase or if bankruptcy or other debt collection laws change.***

Our business model may be uniquely vulnerable to an economic recession, which typically results in an increase in the amount of defaulted consumer receivables, thereby contributing to an increase in the amount of personal bankruptcy filings. Under certain bankruptcy filings, a debtor's assets are sold to repay credit originators, with priority given to holders of secured debt. Since the defaulted consumer receivables we typically purchase are generally unsecured, we often would not be able to collect on those receivables. In addition, since we purchase receivables that are seriously delinquent, this is often an indication that many of the consumer debtors from whom we collect would be unable to service their debts going forward and are more likely to file for bankruptcy in an economic recession. We cannot be certain that our collection experience would not decline with an increase in bankruptcy filings. If our actual collection experience with respect to a defaulted consumer receivable portfolio is significantly lower than we projected when we purchased the portfolio, our results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

In 2005, the Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act, or the Protection Act, was enacted which made significant changes in the treatment of consumer filers for bankruptcy protection. Since the Protection Act was enacted, the number of bankruptcy filings has decreased, and the volume of business at Ascension has decreased as a result. We cannot determine the impact of the Protection Act on the number of bankruptcy filings, on a prospective basis, and its impact on the collectability of consumer debt.

Current federal legislative and executive branch proposals made in response to current economic conditions may have an effect on the rights of creditors in a consumer bankruptcy. We cannot predict whether these or other proposals will be enacted or the extent to which they may affect our business.

***We are subject to examinations and challenges by tax authorities.***

We are subject to periodic examination from federal, state and international taxing authorities. In calculating any taxes due as a result of our operations, we undertake a diligent review of key data, and make decisions with respect to the appropriate application of relevant tax laws. In areas where the appropriate application of tax laws is subject to competing views or interpretation, we make determinations based on our view of the probable outcome, document the reasoning behind those determinations, and seek the concurrence of outside tax consultants. Positions we take with respect to the application of tax laws, may, from time to time, be challenged by tax authorities. If such challenges are made, and not resolved in our favor, they could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales Of Equity Securities And Use Of Proceeds**

None.

**Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities**

None.

**Item 4. Removed and Reserved**

**Item 5. Other Information**

None.

---

## Table of Contents

### **Item 6. Exhibits**

- 4.1\* Senior Secured Note Purchase Agreement, dated September 20, 2010, by and among the Company, The Prudential Insurance Company of America, Pruco Life Insurance Company, Prudential Retirement Insurance and Annuity Company and Prudential Annuities Life Assurance Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed on October 25, 2010).
- 4.2\* Form of Note (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed on October 25, 2010).
- 10.1 Amendment No. 1 to the Credit Agreement, dated September 20, 2010, by and among the Company, the financial institutions listed on the signatures pages thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A. as collateral agent and administrative agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 23, 2010).
- 10.2 Amendment No. 2 to the Credit Agreement, dated September 21, 2010, by and among the Company, the financial institutions listed on the signatures pages thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A. as collateral agent and administrative agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 23, 2010).
- 31.1 Certification of the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to rule 13-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (filed herewith).
- 31.2 Certification of the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to rule 13-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (filed herewith).
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley act of 2002 (filed herewith).

\* The asterisk denotes that confidential portions of this exhibit have been omitted in reliance on Rule 24b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The confidential portions have been submitted separately to the Securities and Exchange Commission.

---

[Table of Contents](#)

**ENCORE CAPITAL GROUP, INC.**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**ENCORE CAPITAL GROUP, INC.**

By: /s/ Paul Grinberg  
Paul Grinberg  
Executive Vice President,  
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

Date: October 26, 2010

---

## Table of Contents

### EXHIBIT INDEX

- 4.1\* Senior Secured Note Purchase Agreement, dated September 20, 2010, by and among the Company, The Prudential Insurance Company of America, Pruco Life Insurance Company, Prudential Retirement Insurance and Annuity Company and Prudential Annuities Life Assurance Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed on October 25, 2010).
- 4.2\* Form of Note (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed on October 25, 2010).
- 10.1 Amendment No. 1 to the Credit Agreement, dated September 20, 2010, by and among the Company, the financial institutions listed on the signatures pages thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A. as collateral agent and administrative agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 23, 2010).
- 10.2 Amendment No. 2 to the Credit Agreement, dated September 21, 2010, by and among the Company, the financial institutions listed on the signatures pages thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A. as collateral agent and administrative agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 23, 2010).
- 31.1 Certification of the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to rule 13-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (filed herewith).
- 31.2 Certification of the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to rule 13-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (filed herewith).
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley act of 2002 (filed herewith).

\* The asterisk denotes that confidential portions of this exhibit have been omitted in reliance on Rule 24b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The confidential portions have been submitted separately to the Securities and Exchange Commission.

[\(Back To Top\)](#)

## **Section 2: EX-31.1 (CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER)**

**Exhibit 31.1**

### CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER

I, J. Brandon Black, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Encore Capital Group, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

- a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: October 26, 2010

By: /s/ J. Brandon Black

J. Brandon Black  
President and Chief Executive Officer

[\(Back To Top\)](#)

## **Section 3: EX-31.2 (CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER)**

**Exhibit 31.2**

### **CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER**

I, Paul Grinberg, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Encore Capital Group, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: October 26, 2010

By: /s/ Paul Grinberg

Paul Grinberg  
Executive Vice President, Chief Financial  
Officer and Treasurer

[\(Back To Top\)](#)

## **Section 4: EX-32.1 (CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER)**

**Exhibit 32.1**

ENCORE CAPITAL GROUP, INC.

**CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER  
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Encore Capital Group, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2010 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), each of the undersigned certifies, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to the best of his knowledge:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the consolidated financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ J. Brandon Black

\_\_\_\_\_  
J. Brandon Black  
*President and Chief Executive Officer*

October 26, 2010

/s/ Paul Grinberg

\_\_\_\_\_  
Paul Grinberg  
*Executive Vice President, Chief  
Financial Officer and Treasurer*

October 26, 2010

[\(Back To Top\)](#)